Evaluation of the Chondromalacia Patella Using a Microscopy Coil: Comparison of the Two-Dimensional Fast Spin Echo Techniques and the Three-Dimensional Fast Field Echo Techniques
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Objective: We wanted to compare the two-dimensional (2D) fast spin echo (FSE) techniques and the three-dimensional (3D) fast field echo techniques for the evaluation of the chondromalacia patella using a microscopy coil.

Materials and Methods: Twenty five patients who underwent total knee arthroplasty were included in this study. Preoperative MRI evaluation of the patella was performed using a microscopy coil (47 mm). The proton density-weighted fast spin echo images (PD), the fat-suppressed PD images (FS-PD), the intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo images (iw-FS-FSE), the 3D balanced-fast field echo images (B-FFE), the 3D water selective cartilage scan (WATS-c) and the 3D water selective fluid scan (WATS-f) were obtained on a 1.5T MRI scanner. The patellar cartilage was evaluated in nine areas: the superior, middle and the inferior portions that were subdivided into the medial, central and lateral facets in a total of 215 areas. Employing the Noyes grading system, the MRI grade 0-I, II and III lesions were compared using the gross and microscopic findings. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy were evaluated for each sequence. The significance of the differences for the individual sequences was calculated using the McNemar test.

Results: The gross and microscopic findings demonstrated 167 grade 0-I lesions, 40 grade II lesions and eight grade III lesions. iw-FS-FSE had the highest accuracy (sensitivity/specificity/accuracy = 88%/98%/96%), followed by FS-PD (78%/98%/93%, respectively), PD (76%/98%/93%, respectively), B-FFE (71%/100%/93%, respectively), WATS-c (67%/100%/92%, respectively) and WATS-f (58%/99%/89%, respectively). There were statistically significant differences for the iw-FS-FSE and WATS-f and for the PD-FS and WATS-f ($p < 0.01$).

Conclusion: The iw-FS-FSE images obtained with a microscopy coil show best diagnostic performance among the 2D and 3D GRE images for evaluating the chondromalacia patella.

Index terms: Cartilage; Magnetic resonance (MR); Knee; Histopathologic correlation

INTRODUCTION

Although arthroscopy is considered to be the gold standard for making the diagnosis of chondromalacia, MRI is a non-invasive method for diagnosing chondral lesions and it is easily performed. The increased strength of the magnets and the specialized coil allow an increase in both the sensitivity and specificity of diagnosing chondromalacia. MRI has become an important tool for evaluating articular cartilages and chondral lesions.

The two-dimensional (2D) sequences, such as the proton-density weighted (PD) images and the intermediate-
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Weighted fast spin-echo (iw-FSE) images with or without fat-saturation (FS), are frequently used for MR imaging of the knee. The gradient-echo (GRE) sequence, such as the fat-suppressed spoiled gradient echo (FS-SPGR) images and the fat-suppressed fast low angle shot (FS-FLASH) images, is one of the most frequently used sequences (1). The three-dimensional (3D) T1-fast field echo with water excitation for cartilage (WATS-c) scan and the 3D water selective fluid scan (WATS-f) are sequences that use water excitation for fat suppression and they are similar to the water excitation 3D FLASH scan (2, 3). Although other sequences, such as driven equilibrium Fourier transform (DEFT) sequence (4) the balanced steady-state free precession (B-SSFP) sequence (5) and the 3D dual-echo steady-state sequence (3D-DESS) (6) have also demonstrated successful results for detecting chondral lesions, these are not widely used in most clinical settings. The reported sensitivities and specificities of 3D sequences were variable for the evaluation of chondromalacia in different study settings (1-6).

Fat suppressed PD MR imaging has shown good performance for detecting articular cartilage lesions (7, 8), but the 3D sequences showed better results than the 2D sequences (8), and the 3D images can acquire thinner slices than the 2D images. The iw-FS-FSE showed the best sensitivity for detecting chondromalacia compared with that of FS-SPGR and B-SSFP (9). So comparison of 2D images such as the PD, FS-PD, iw-FS-FSE and 3D images is needed to assess their diagnostic performance.

In one study, the 2 x 2 channel carotid coil showed slightly better results than the conventional coil for grading chondromalacia (8). The microscopy coil (diameter: 47 mm) has recently been used in many clinical fields, and this coil is adequate to cover the entire patellar cartilage (10, 11). Through the development of surface coils and MRI equipment, it is possible to acquire thinner slices on the 2D images of chondromalacia, although further evaluation is required.

The purpose of this study is to compare the diagnostic performance of the 2D FSE techniques and the 3D fast field echo techniques for the evaluation of the chondromalacia patella using a microscopy coil.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

The study protocol was approved by our Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from all patients before MRI acquisition.

Those patients who underwent total knee arthroplasty due to severe degenerative osteoarthritis during a five-month period were prospectively included in this study. The patients who had relatively mild osteoarthritis in the patellofemoral compartment were selected because selection of many grade 0-II chondromalacia cases is needed for the evaluation of a broad spectrum of chondromalacia. The MRI examinations were performed before surgery and then arthroplasty was done 1–2 days after the MRI examination.

| Table 1. The Pulse Sequences used in this Study |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                  | PD               | FS-PD            | Iw-FS-FSE        | B-FFE            | WATS-c           | WATS-f           |
| TR/TE            | 3500/15          | 3500/15          | 2500/50          | 15.3/7.7         | 22.5/11.3        | 22.5/11.3        |
| Slice thickness/gap (mm) | 1.5/0.15          | 1.5/0.15          | 1.5/0.15         | 1.5/0            | 1.5/0            | 1.5/0            |
| No. of excitations | 2                | 2                | 4                | 3               | 3               | 3               |
| Flip angle       |                  |                  |                  | 45              | 25              | 50              |
| FOV (cm)         | 6                | 6                | 6                | 6               | 6               | 6               |
| Echo train length | 5                | 5                | 6                | 6               | 6               | 6               |
| Matrix           | 256 x 208        | 256 x 208        | 256 x 208        | 256 x 208       | 256 x 208       | 256 x 208       |
| % phase FOV      | 80%              | 80%              | 80%              | 80%             | 80%             | 80%             |
| Scan percentage  | 100%             | 100%             | 100%             | 100%            | 100%            | 100%            |
| Acquisition time | 3 min 58 sec     | 3 min 58 sec     | 4 min 39 sec     | 2 min 43 sec    | 4 min 4 sec     | 4 min 4 sec     |

*Note.— Measured voxel size/reconstructed voxel size = 1.5 x 0.23 x 0.29/1.5 x 0.23 x 0.23 mm³. B-FFE = balanced-fast field echo image, FOV = field of view, FS-PD = fat-suppressed proton density-weighted image, Iw-FS-FSE = intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo image, PD = proton density-weighted fast spin echo image, TE = echo time, TR = repetition time, WATS-c = water selective cartilage scan, WATS-f = water selective fluid scan.*
MRI Examination

Preoperative MRI evaluation of the patella using a microscopy coil (47 mm, Philips Medical Systems) on a clinical 1.5T MRI scanner was performed (Intera; Philips Medical Systems; Best, The Netherlands) with the patient in the supine position. The axial MR images were obtained on the proton density-weighted fast spin echo imaging (PD), the FS-proton density-weighted fast spin echo imaging (FS-PD), the FS-T2 weighted fast spin echo imaging with intermediate T2 weighting (iw-FS-FSE), the 3D balanced-fast field echo imaging (B-FFE), the 3D T1 fast field echo with water excitation for cartilage imaging (WATS-c), and the 3D fast field echo with water excitation for fluid imaging (WATS-f) sequences. The imaging protocols are all shown in Table 1. The WATS-c sequence is a T1 enhanced FFE volume scan with ProSet (Principle of selective excitation technique). The WATS-f sequence is the non-spoiled FFE with ProSet, and the WATS-c and WATS-f use water selective 1-3-3-1 binominal pulse for optimal fat suppression (12). The low high technique of the k-space profile order in the PD and FSE sequences was used. The CLEAR (Constant Level Appearance) technique for homogeneity correction in image reconstruction was used.

Evaluation of MR Images

After completion of the data acquisition in all the patients, the MR images were evaluated separately and independently by two radiologists with 10 and two years, respectively, of clinical experience in musculoskeletal MR imaging. The readers were blinded with regard to the pathology data. The interval between each evaluation was six weeks.

The grading of the cartilage lesions was performed in correspondence with the surgical grading, with minor adaptations required according to the MRI appearance of the abnormalities and following the grading schema of Recht et al. (13) (Table 2): grade 0: normal cartilage (homogeneous signal intensity, intact cartilage surface and thickness), grade I: abnormal signal intensity (focal alteration of the cartilage signal intensity) but a normal surface, grade IIA: superficial fraying, erosion or ulceration with a depth of not more than 50% of the cartilage thickness, grade IIB: a defect of more than 50%, but less than 100% of the cartilage thickness, and grade III: full-thickness cartilage damage.

The patella cartilage was evaluated in nine areas (Fig. 1), i.e., the superior, middle and inferior portions subdivided by the medial, central and lateral facets. The highest grade of each segment was recorded on the MRI and pathology. Arthroplasty was then performed one to two days after the MRI examination.

Patella cutting was done from the medial to lateral edge. After cutting, all the patella specimens were photographed (Fig. 2). Transverse patella cutting was done for the histological grading. Each three sections were obtained at the superior, middle and inferior portions. Hematoxylin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Grading of Cartilage according to MRI Appearance of Abnormalities and by Grading Schema of Recht et al. (13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arthroscopic Findings</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 50% thickness (IIA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50% thickness (IIB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Division of nine areas of patella.
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& Eosin staining was done after decalcification. The macroscopic findings using the specimens’ photographs and histology were closely evaluated and graded using the same grading system as that for MRI.

Using the Noyes grading system, the MRI grade 0-I, II and III lesions were compared using the gross and microscopic findings as the gold standard. Grades 0 and I were regarded as the same grade. If the chondromalacia was undergraded on the MRI examination, then it was regarded as a false negative. If the chondromalacia was overgraded on the MRI examination, then it was regarded as a false positive.

**Statistical Analysis**

The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy for the detection of cartilage lesions were calculated for each sequence and

---

**Table 3. Individual Reviewer Performance for MR Images (Sensitivity, Specificity and Accuracy)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>FS-PD</th>
<th>Iw-FS-FSE</th>
<th>B-FFE</th>
<th>WATS-c</th>
<th>WATS-f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reader 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.**— Data are percentages. B-FFE = balanced-fast field echo image, FS-PD = fat-suppressed proton density-weighted image, Iw-FS-FSE = intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo image, PD = proton density-weighted fast spin echo image, WATS-c = water selective cartilage scan, WATS-f = water selective fluid scan

---

**Table 4. Inter- and Intraobserver Agreement (k-values) for Each Sequence**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>FS-PD</th>
<th>Iw-FS-FSE</th>
<th>B-FFE</th>
<th>WATS-c</th>
<th>WATS-f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interobserver agreement</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader 1</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reader 2</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.**— B-FFE = balanced-fast field echo image, FS-PD = fat-suppressed proton density-weighted image, Iw-FS-FSE = intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo image, PD = proton density-weighted fast spin echo image, WATS-c = water selective cartilage scan, WATS-f = water selective fluid scan

---

**Fig. 2.** Cutting articular surface of patella (schematic diagram, left) and example of gross pictures of cutting patella (right).
for both readers. Differences in the results were evaluated using the McNemar test (SPSS software, version 14.0, 2006; SPSS. Chicago, IL). A p value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. The inter-observer and intra-observer agreements were determined with $k$ statistics.

RESULTS

A total of 25 patients (M:F = 2:23, mean age: 65.44 years, range: 56–75 years) were included in this study. Ten lesions of three patients were excluded as they had inadequate pathology specimens of the patella.

There were 167 grade 0 or I lesions, 40 grade II lesions and eight grade III lesions. iw-FS-FSE showed highest sensitivity and accuracy among the sequences. For reader 1, the sensitivity varied from 58% (WATS-f) to 88% (iw-FS-FSE), the specificity varied from 98% (FS-PD) to 100% (B-FFE and WATS-c) and the accuracy varied from 89% (WATS-f) to 96% (iw-FS-FSE). For reader 2, the sensitivity varied from 50% (WATS-f) to 94% (iw-FS-FSE), the specificity varied from 97% (FS-PD and iw-FS-FSE) to 100% (WATS-c and WATS-f) and the accuracy varied from 88% (WATS-f) to 96% (iw-FS-FSE) (Table 3). We provide examples of each type of cartilage lesion in Figures 3 to 6.

The interobserver $k$ values for the different sequences were between almost perfect ($k = 0.91$ for iw-FS-FSE) and substantial ($k = 0.72$ for WATS-f). The overall intraobserver agreement was almost perfect ($k = 0.87$) for reader 1 and substantial ($k = 0.78$) for reader 2. The intraobserver $k$ values for each sequence were between almost perfect ($k = 0.91$ for the iw-FS-FSE for reader 1) and substantial ($k = 0.65$ for the WATS-c for reader 2). All the inter- and intraobserver $k$ values were statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) (Table 4).

Fig. 3. 55-year-old woman with knee pain. Proton density-weighted (A), fat-suppressed proton density-weighted (B), intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo (C), 3D water selective cartilage scan (D), 3D water selective fluid scan (E) and 3D balanced-fast field echo (F) images show no evidence of chondromalacia in patella except for osteophyte at medial end.
The WATS-f sequence showed significantly lower sensitivity, specificity and accuracy than those of the iw-FS-FSE and FS-PD sequences ($p < 0.01$). Otherwise, no sequence was clearly superior to any other sequence. Even without statistically significant results between the iw-FS-FSE and the other sequences, the iw-FS-FSE showed highest accuracy of any of the sequences.

**DISCUSSION**

The PD sequence could be used to evaluate the knee cartilage with accuracy comparable to that of the previously reported cartilage-specific sequences (14). Furthermore, fat suppression in the cartilage evaluation was important because of the increased dynamic range and findings of

---

Fig. 4. 72-year-old woman with knee pain.
Proton density-weighted (A), fat-suppressed proton density-weighted (B), intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo (C), 3D water selective cartilage scan (D), 3D water selective fluid scan (E) and 3D balanced-fast field echo (F) images show blister formation at lateral patellar cartilage and grade I change on all sequences (arrows). Histology (G, x 40) shows blister formation at base of patellar cartilage (arrows), intact surface, hypercellularity and extensive matrix formation at superior portion of blister (arrowheads).
underlying bone changes (15). The FS-PD sequence was highly sensitive for chondromalacia and it allowed the possibility of replacing the 3D SPGR and short tau inversion recovery (STIR) images for evaluating chondromalacia (15). Yoshioka et al. (16) reported that FS-PD showed a higher signal to noise (SNR) of articular cartilage, and it showed a lower contrast to noise ratio (CNR) between cartilage and fluid than did the iw-FS-FSE, but the FS-PD and iw-FS-FSE images were reported to have the same sensitivity and specificity (16). However, the iw-FS-FSE images showed the best accuracy in our results. Yoshioka et al. (16) used a 39 ms echo time for iw-FS-FSE, and we selected a longer echo time (50 ms) for the iw-FS-FSE and a thinner slice thickness and we used a microscopy coil, which probably made our results different from the results of Yoshioka et al. Many institutions tend to use iw-FS-FSE (echo time: 33–60 ms) rather than the PD sequences, and the former provides higher intrinsic contrast and less magic angle effects (17). We use iw-FS-FSE as the first choice sequence for the evaluation of a chondromalacia patella.

One of the most commonly used techniques for assessing cartilage lesions was FS-SPGR, and the FS-SPGR images

Fig. 5. 66-year-old woman with knee pain.
Proton density-weighted (A), fat-suppressed proton density-weighted (B), intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo (C), 3D water selective cartilage scan (D), 3D water selective fluid scan (E) and 3D balanced-fast field echo (F) images show focal breakage in cartilage surface of medial patellar facet on fast spin echo sequence images (A-C, arrows), but this is not definite on gradient echo images (D-F). Gross microscopic image (G) shows disruption of articular surface to depth less than 50% (arrow), and this grade IIA.
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provided thin slices and high spatial resolution in several studies (18, 19). WATS pulses have been developed as an alternative technique to suppress fat signals (12). WATS pulses suppress the fat signal better than presaturation techniques due to the lesser sensitivity to magnetic field inhomogeneity (20). Compared with FS-SPGR, WATS pulses reduced the scan time (12). FS-FLASH (i.e., FS-SPGR) showed no significant difference of the SNR and CNR and the chondromalacia grading with water excitation FLASH (i.e., WATS-c) (2). So, the WATS GRE images could actually replace the fat-suppressed GRE images. According to recent studies, FS-SPGR and water excitation FLASH (i.e., WATS-c) showed a lower sensitivity and specificity for cartilage pathology than did FS-FSE (9, 16, 21). The high signal of the WATS-c images often hides the internal cartilage abnormality, and the low contrast between cartilage and synovial fluid made it difficult to detect fissures or cartilage fibrillation (17, 22, 23). Therefore, the clinical usefulness of WATS-c or FS-SPGR images for the diagnosis of chondromalacia is still limited.

Balanced FFE is synonymous with true fast imaging with steady-state precession (true FISP) or B-SSFP and with fast imaging with a steady state acquisition (FIESTA) sequence.

Fig. 6. 64-year-old woman with knee pain.
Proton density-weighted (A), fat-suppressed proton density-weighted (B), intermediate weighted-fat suppressed fast spin echo (C), 3D water selective cartilage scan (D), 3D water selective fluid scan (E) and 3D balanced-fast field echo (F) images show deep fissuring of articular cartilage of medial patellar facet, and exposed subchondral bone is seen on all sequences and gross microscopic image (G) (arrows), and this grade III.
interface of the cartilage and joint fluid made it difficult to experience, chondromalacia detection without seeing the because of the low T2/T1 values (25), and in our patients. However, B-FFE has limited soft-tissue contrast evaluation of cartilage and especially in uncooperative time (23). Therefore, B-FFE may be an option for the quick evaluation of cartilage and especially in uncooperative patients. However, B-FFE has limited soft-tissue contrast because of the low T2/T1 values (25), and in our experience, chondromalacia detection without seeing the interface of the cartilage and joint fluid made it difficult to detect surface irregularity. Bauer et al. also reported that the diagnostic performance for chondromalacia was higher for iw-FS-FSE imaging than that with SSFP or SPGR imaging (9). These results indicate that the superior SNR of cartilage in B-FFE does not always correlate with a higher diagnostic performance for chondromalacia than that of FSE imaging. Duc et al. (26) also indicated that the superior CNR of the 3D water-excitation true FISP sequences had no advantage for determining chondromalacia over that of the iw-FS-FSE sequence. The fact that the SSFP sequence had severe artifacts than the FSE or SPGR (27) sequences might be one of the factors for the decreased sensitivity for detecting chondromalacia among these sequences.

The early composition changes of cartilage can be detected on T2 mapping (28). As the T2 value of cartilage reflects its collagen content, tissue anisotropy and its water content (29), FSE imaging can detect early chondromalacia according to the T2 contrast. The magnetization transfer effect is also one of the factors for cartilage contrast on the FSE imaging. In cases of cartilage degeneration, the decreased collagen content reduced the MT effect in cartilage, which therefore increased the cartilage signal on FSE imaging (30). In addition, the subchondral change in the cases of chondromalacia could be detected on the iw-FS-FSE sequence rather than on the 3D water excitation true FISP sequence (26). Schaefer et al. (8) reported that grade I cartilage lesions were underestimated on 3D sequences and that the intrasubstantial contrast between chondromalacia and intact cartilage was poor on the 3D sequences. Therefore, the iw-FS-FSE images can more easily detect the cartilage lesions.

Diagnosis of chondromalacia using MRI depends on spatial resolution. Compared with the classical volume coils, a surface coil has limits for evaluating deep structures and this is usually limited by the radius (31). Gensanne et al. (32) reported on the performance of four microscopy coils (23, 47, 60 and 90 mm) from different MRI manufacturers, and the Micro 47 coil showed highest SNR value at 20-30 mm of tissue thickness. The patellar thickness with including the skin and subcutaneous area is 22.8 (range: 19–31 mm) prior to total knee arthroplasty (33). The patellar height of the normal knee is 43.95 mm (range: 35.6–46.3 mm) (34). So, it is suitable to use a 47 mm coil for the imaging of the chondromalacia patella. The increased SNR of the 47 mm microscopy coil enabled us to obtain high spatial resolution on musculoskeletal MR imaging and to use a small field of view (FOV) and a thin slice thickness that overcome the weakness of 2D imaging. A microscopy coil can also show smaller structures such as ligaments and cartilage (10). The advantages of high resolution MRI using a microscopy coil have been reported for both the wrist joint and the knee joint (11, 35).

This study has several limitations. First, our study patients had too many grade 0 or I lesions, which increased the specificity and made almost perfect inter-observer and intra-observer agreement. Second, we used an MRI microscopy coil, which created a small FOV and a thin slice thickness that overcome the 2D weakness. However, as a microscopy coil is usually not used in standard clinical practice, the evaluation of chondromalacia in clinical practice is different from that seen in this study. Third, grades 0 & I chondromalacia were not divided and they were evaluated as the same grade in this study. Further, MRI study will be necessary in order to evaluate grade I chondromalacia. Fourth, the 3D GRE images are able to be acquired with thinner slices than that of the 2D FSE images with a similar SNR, which could increase the sensitivity and specificity. However, as the same slice thickness was used in this study, the advantages of the 3D sequences were underestimated.

In conclusion, the iw-FS-FSE images obtained with a microscopy coil with the same voxel size showed the best diagnostic performance among the 2D and 3D GRE images for evaluating chondromalacia. The iw-FS-FSE images could be used as the main pulse sequence for arthritis imaging of not only soft tissue and bony abnormalities, but also for evaluating chondromalacia when using a dedicated coil.
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