Intraocular Injection of Muscimol Induces Illusory Motion Reversal in Goldfish
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Induced activation of the gamma-aminobutyric acid \(_A\) (GABA\(_A\)) receptor in the retina of goldfish caused the fish to rotate in the opposite direction to that of the spinning pattern during an optomotor response (OMR) measurement. Muscimol, a GABA\(_A\) receptor agonist, modified OMR in a concentration-dependent manner. The GABA\(_B\) receptor agonist baclofen and GABA\(_C\) receptor agonist CACA did not affect OMR. The observed modifications in OMR included decreased anterograde rotation (0.01 ∼ 0.03 \(\mu\)M), coexistence of retrograde rotation and decreased anterograde rotation (0.1 ∼ 30 \(\mu\)M) and only retrograde rotation (100 \(\mu\)M ∼ 1 mM). In contrast, the GABA\(_A\) receptor antagonist bicuculline blocked muscimol-induced retrograde rotation. Based on these results, we inferred that the coding inducing retrograde movement of the goldfish retina is essentially associated with the GABA\(_A\) receptor-related visual pathway. Furthermore, from our novel approach using observations of goldfish behavior the induced discrete snapshot duration was approximately 573 ms when the fish were under the influence of muscimol.
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INTRODUCTION

The phenomenon in which rotating spokes look as if they are rotating in the opposite direction is called the wagon wheel illusion (WWI) or illusory motion reversal (IMR). This is observed not only in humans but also in other animals under specific conditions (Götz, 1964; Purves et al., 1996; Maaswinkel and Li, 2003; Kline et al., 2004; VanRullen et al., 2007). IMR occurs very often at low temporal frequency/high spatial frequency domain (Götz, 1964; Purves et al., 1996; Maaswinkel and Li, 2003). Although the occurrence of motion detection and IMR can be explained theoretically by the Reichardt and correlation detector model (Reichardt, 1987; Purves et al., 1996; Srinivasan et al., 1999; Zanker et al., 1999; Maaswinkel and Li, 2003; Kline et al., 2004), there are no reports of the induction of IMR via intraocular injections of drugs in the retina of the goldfish.

We were the first to discover the potency of intraocular injection of specific drugs in inducing IMR in goldfish under specific conditions. Since goldfish always move relative to the movements of their perceived surroundings, it is very appropriate to use the goldfish when observing the arousal of IMR. Motion detection in animals such as the goldfish is typically assessed with behavioral experiments such as the optomotor response (OMR) and optokinetic response (OKR), or with directional selectivity measurements (Barlow and Hill, 1963; Oyster et al., 1972; Schaerer and Neumeyer, 1996). There are reports that suggest that signals activating motion detection and directional selectivity are formed in the inner plexiform layer and amacrine cells, and have a central role in this process (Barlow and Levick, 1965; Werblin, 1969). Diverse types of amacrine cells, which are activated by bipolar cells, generate inhibitory or excitatory signals. These two types of signals are inputted into ganglion cells with time differences and, when combined, lead to directional selectivity. This directional selectivity is induced or suppressed by agonists that are related to the inhibitory neurotransmitters gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine (Wyatt and Daw, 1976; Egelhaaf et al., 1990; Pun and Slaughter, 1991; Domb, 2007). Although research has focused mainly on the effects of neurotransmitters on directional selectivity, only a few investigations on motion detection have been undertaken.

Unlike many previous investigations, we presently examined the effects of neurotransmitters on motion detection by observing the changes of the recognition of motion detection by using OMR with the GABA agonist muscimol, which acts on GABA\(_A\) receptors. In these experiments, we discovered that intraocular injection of drugs can trigger the goldfish to spin in the opposite direction to that of their perceived moving surroundings—in this case the spinning patterns—when under continuous stimuli. The maximum
discrete snapshot duration, which forms in the retina by the activation of GABA_A receptors, was about 573 ms with the rotation velocity of IMR. In addition, IMR induction required adaptation time of about 13 sec (the time required to induce IMR).

These results lead to the following conclusions: (1) recognition of the difference in light intensity of the moving object decreases when the object rotates, (2) the long adaptation time (the time required to induce the reversed rotation) creates the circuit for snapshot duration in the goldfish retina (perhaps with a GABA_A related pathway), (3) the central signal intensifies every 573 ms, and (4) this evident signal induces the reversed recognition by producing a stroboscopic effect (influenced by the intraocular-injection of muscimol). Therefore, the GABA_A related circuit may create snapshots by enforcing relatively stronger central signals periodically.

**METHODS**

**Animals**

Goldfish (*carassius auratus*) used in our experiment were approximately 7~9 cm in length and weighed 10~16 g. They were purchased from a local fish shop and were kept under an alternating 12 h light and dark cycle to maintain their circadian rhythm at room temperature in an aquarium before the experiment.

**Experimental setup and light intensities**

We used an experimental setup similar to the one used by Schaerer and Neumeyer (1996): a 12 cm-diameter fishbowl containing a goldfish was placed in the center of a cylinder with 6 alternating blue and white stripes (each strip was 3.8 cm in width and the wavelength of the deep blue was 441 nm). The patterned cylinder rotated mostly at 12 rpm but rotated at other various velocities if needed. The light source used herein was from a XG-SV1A LCD projector (Sharp, Tokyo, Japan). The optical density of the pattern surface measured using a model IL 1400A radiometer (International light, Newburyport, MA) was adjusted to approximately 100 nW/cm². To prevent the entrance of external light, the setup was covered in two layers of black curtains. The movement of the goldfish and pattern was recorded using a SK-2005X miniature camera (Huviron, Gyenggi-do, Korea) set over the fishbowl.

**Measurement of optomotor response**

Prior to the experiment, the goldfish was allowed to adapt for approximately 20 minutes in the fishbowl to become acclimated to the light used for the experiment. Each experiment comprised seven repeated procedures. One procedure involved 1 minute of cylinder rotation, which induced the spinning of the goldfish as it tried to follow the rotating patterns it perceived, and 30 seconds of settling down. To prevent the goldfish from adapting to rotation in one direction for the seven trials, the cylinder was spun in alternate directions—clockwise in one trial and counter-clockwise in the next.

**Drugs and injections**

The drug solutions were prepared by dissolving and diluting muscimol (GABA_A receptor agonist), biccuculline (GABA_A receptor antagonist), baclofen (GABA_B receptor agonist), and CACA (GABA_A receptor agonist) in a Ringer’s solution (pH 7.40, in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.6 KCl, 2.5 CaCl_2, 1 MgCl_2, 10 glucose and 10 HEPES). After anesthetization of the goldfish in 0.005% MS 222 for 2~3 minutes, 2.0 μl of a drug solution was intracocularly injected into the goldfish with an IO-KIT intraocular kit (WPI, Sarasota, FL). The post-injection concentrations of the drugs were diluted about 0.05 times the pre-injection concentration in the eye cups, since the volume left after subtracting the lens volume from the total inner eye volume after we injected 2.0 μl into the eye was about 40 μl (The measurements were taken with a measuring microscope; NRM-SXYZ, Nippon Optical Works, Japan). As there were no changes in OMR whether or not the control was injected with the Ringer’s solution in a preliminary experiment, the control group was not injected with the Ringer’s solution during this experiment.

**Data acquisition, treatment, and statistics**

OMR data were obtained by subtracting the turns in which the goldfish moved in the opposite direction to that of the pattern’s rotation (retrograde) from those in which it moved in the same direction to the pattern’s rotation (anterograde), based on the direction of goldfish’s head [OMR=(number of goldfish rotations in 1 minute/number of pattern rotation in 1 minute)×100 (%)]. In each experiment, five goldfish were tested. For each goldfish, seven trials were executed but, from the seven measurements obtained, only five were used (the maximum and minimum measurements were disregarded). Thus, 25 measurements were obtained. Measurements were analyzed using Origin 8 (Origin Lab, Northampton, MA) and depicted in a table. In all the text and plots, the data are shown with their respected standard error of mean (mean±S.E.) and represent the number of goldfish. For statistical comparison, differences were considered significant if p<0.05 (*).

**RESULTS**

Muscimol, a GABA_A receptor agonist, reduced OMR significantly at a concentration of 0.1 μM (p<0.05) and induced negative OMR (reversed direction of rotation of the goldfish to that of the pattern) at concentrations of 1 μM (p<0.05) and 10 μM (p<0.05) (Fig. 1A). Baclofen, a GABA_B receptor agonist, did not have any effect on OMR (Fig. 1B). CACA, a GABA_A receptor agonist, induced a small reduction that was not significant (p>0.05, Fig. 1C).

We observed OMR in various concentrations of muscimol (Fig. 2). Muscimol-injected OMRs were normalized to each corresponding control OMRs. Normalized OMR showed three patterns depending on the change of muscimol concentration. At concentrations below 0.03 μM, normalized OMR decreased. From 0.1~30.0 μM, however, normalized OMR decreased and plateaued. But, unlike previous concentrations, negative OMR also appeared. In this concentration range, there was a tendency for the goldfish to rotate persistently in the initial direction and then to rotate without changing its direction in the middle of the procedure. Thus, to obtain enough data for the anterograde rotation and the retrograde, twice as many measurements were taken at this concentration range than at other concentrations. Furthermore, at concentrations above 100 μM, only neg-
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Fig. 1. OMR of a goldfish before (■) and after ( ░) administration of three concentrations of muscimol (A), baclofen (B), and CACA (C). All drugs were independently administered five times. Muscimol-induced negative OMR represents the reverse rotation of a goldfish to the direction of pattern rotation. Here and in subsequent figures, unless otherwise indicated the error bar represents ± standard error of the mean, *indicates p<0.05 and n represents the number of goldfish.

Fig. 2. The relationship between muscimol concentration and normalized OMR. Eleven concentrations of muscimol from 0.01 μM to 1 mM were used (n=5 for each concentration). At certain concentrations, the same goldfish showed both negative and positive OMR. To account for this, each fish treated with concentrations ranging from 0.1~30 μM was measured twice as much. Therefore, the total number of fish used in the experiment was 30, but 30 of these were tested and measured twice as much as the remaining 25. As the muscimol concentration increased, there were 3 distinctive OMR results: reduction in anterograde rotation, coexistence of retrograde rotation and reduced anterograde rotation, and retrograde rotation only. The diagram, which shows the anterograde and retrograde rotation, is shown on the graph for reference and clarity.

Fig. 3. OMR as to GABA receptor related drugs. (A) Co-injection (n=5) of 1 mM muscimol (MUS) and 2 mM bicuculline (BIC) inhibited the negative OMR that muscimol had induced. (B) OMR using the two concentrations of bicuculline. Bicuculline alone markedly reduced anterograde OMR (n=5).

ative normalized OMR was observed. Based on these results, we inferred that it is possible to induce substantial negative OMR by an exogenous activation of GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor in goldfish.

The GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonist bicuculline seemed to suppress muscimol-induced negative OMR (Fig. 3A). When 1 mM muscimol was infused, OMR was −0.30±0.65%, and a subsequent infusion of a mixture of 1 mM muscimol and 2 mM bicuculline restored OMR to 27.00±0.80%. When only bicuculline was injected, OMR decreased (Fig. 3B), in agreement with those obtained by Mora-Ferrer et al. (2005: Fig. 4D∼F).

When we observed goldfish rotation at various pattern velocities (6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, and 20 rpm) after the intraocular injection of 1 mM muscimol, retrogression was greatest at 12 rpm (Fig. 4A). Based on this result, we supposed that aliasing perceived by goldfish was the smallest at 12 rpm but increased at other pattern velocities.

The discrete Snapshot Model (Purves et al., 1996), which analyzes motion detection using a discrete snapshot, explains the motion detection in the presence of muscimol. In order to obtain the discrete snapshot duration using the Snapshot Model, we tried to find an ideal virtual pattern velocity that the goldfish perceived under the effect of muscimol by using the retrograde rotation of goldfish when the pattern rotated at 12 rpm. We discovered that the average muscimol-induced retrograde rotation velocity of goldfish was about −1.2 rpm (−1.20±0.34 rpm, n=52) and that the adaptation times before the goldfish followed the moving pattern after the pattern began rotating were 5.22±0.88 sec (n=48) for the control and about 13.13±1.2 sec (n=62) for muscimol-affected goldfish respectively. Therefore, the actual retrogression was −1.532 rpm since the goldfish rotated retrograde −1.2 rpm during the 46.87 sec (60−13.13 sec)
Moreover, use of the GAB\(A\) receptor agonist muscimol in- 
ilar results in goldfish with the use of bicuculline (Fig. 2B).
play a key role in motion coding. We presently obtained sim-
Watanabe et al., 2000; Paik et al., 2003; Klooster et al., 2004).
Cohen, 1988; Yazulla et al., 1989; Lin and Yazulla, 1994; 
and amacrine-to-ganglion cell synapses in the inner retina. 
GABA\(A\) receptors are distributed over extensive areas of 
species of vertebral animals. Of these, antagonists of GABAA 
receptor inhibit DS (Wyatt and Daw, 1976; Caldwell et al., 
1978; Kittila and Massey, 1995; He and Masland, 1997; 
Mora-Ferrer et al., 2005). Besides, the observations that 
OMR can be markedly suppressed by bicuculline and pic-
rotoxin (Ferrer et al., 2005) illustrate that GABA\(A\) receptors 
play a key role in motion coding. We presently obtained sim-
ilar results in goldfish with the use of bicuculline (Fig. 2B). 
Moreover, use of the GABA\(A\) receptor agonist muscimol in-
duced IMR in goldfish.
GABA\(A\) receptors are distributed over extensive areas of 
retinal neurons of animals. In goldfish, they are present 
on photoreceptors, bipolar cells, and horizontal cell dendrites 
in the outer retina, and amacrine, amacrine-to-amacrine, 
and amacrine-to-ganglion cell synapses in the inner retina. 
These findings have been demonstrated on immunohisto-
chemical staining and measurements of morphology and 
electrophysiology (Kaneko and Tachibana, 1897; Ishada and 
Cohen, 1988; Yazulla et al., 1989; Lin and Yazulla, 1994; 
Watanabe et al., 2000; Paik et al., 2003; Klooster et al., 2004).
GABA\(A\) receptors are present in nearly all goldfish retina 
cells. They are also affected by an intraocular adminis-
tration of muscimol. However, our experimental data could 
not clarify the exact mechanism by which muscimol induces 
IMR.

Based on our experimental results, we inferred that when 
GABA\(A\) receptors in the goldfish retina are activated, con-
tinuous stimuli of repeated patterns are likely to make the 
goldfish perceive patterns at a constant time interval as 
in a stroboscopic state. The periodicity of perception ex-
plained above is considered as discrete snapshot duration. 
We will refer to this discrete snapshot duration caused by 
the continuous stimulation of the repetitive pattern as in-
duced discrete snapshot duration (IDSD). Muscimol-in-
duced IDSD requires activation of GABA\(A\) receptors, con-
tinuous stimulation from the repetitive pattern and an 
adaptation time of approximately 13 sec prior to the occur-
rence of IDSD. Although we believe that it is possible to 
induce IMR without the injection of muscimol under certain 
conditions, we could not identify this using our experi-
mental conditions. When the goldfish were under the influence 
of muscimol, however, IDSD was observed with the 
use of conditions under which IMR and the Snapshot Model 
occurred. Suppose that a muscimol-injected goldfish receives 
and, therefore, perceives visual information of the changing 
pattern at the same time interval to that of IDSD. If so, 
then when a six-cycle pattern cylinder is rotated at a velocity 
of 12 rpm (the patterns rotating at a velocity of 72 deg/ 
sec [=12 rpm\times(360 deg/sec)], the goldfish perceives the 
stripe as if the adjacent same colored stripe had moved 
18,720 degrees [=3.12 rpm\times360 deg/(60 sec)] backwards. 
But, in reality the stripe has moved 41.28 degrees forward 
for the goldfish to perceive the visual information of the 
rotating pattern as if it was rotating at a velocity of \(-3.12 
rpm. The snapshot duration is, therefore, 573 ms [=41.28 deg)/(72 deg/sec)].

**DISCUSSION**

Pharmacological effects used for the OMR of Goldfish are 
analogous to those seen in the direction selectivity (DS), 
an electrophysiological experiment using retina of various 

time interval. By observing OMR at low pattern velocities 
such as 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 rpm (Fig. 4B), we obtained a linear 
relation between velocities of the pattern and that of the 
goldfish (goldfish rotation=0.565\times(pattern rotation–0.231). 
From this relation, we found that 1.532 rpm, the goldfish 
rotation velocity, corresponded to an ideal virtual pattern 
velocity of 3.12 rpm (18.72 deg/s). If the goldfish perceived 
a pattern, whenever the pattern was located in the exact 
location that the other patterns were located just previously 
the goldfish would not perceive the change and would not 
rotate. Yet, whenever the pattern seemed to move 41.28 
deg away from the original location, the goldfish perceived 
the change and rotated \(-18.72\) deg, producing a negative 
rotation of about \(-1.532\) rpm. The angular velocity of this 
rotating pattern was 12 rpm (72 deg/s) and, in this con-
tion, the goldfish would have to perceive the movement 
of the pattern when the pattern rotated 41.28 deg forward 
for the goldfish to rotate backwards 18.72 deg upon receiv-
ing the visual signal from the retina. In this case the dis-
crete snapshot duration was about 0.573s [(41.28 deg)/(72 
deg)=573 ms] (For further reference, see discussion).

**Fig. 4.** Goldfish rotation versus pattern rotation. (A) Number of rotations depending on various pattern velocities after the administration of 
1 mM muscimol (n=5 for each velocity). The reversed rotation due to 
muscimol was the greatest at a pattern velocity of 12 rpm. (B) Rotation of 
goldfish to low speeds in the control condition (n=5 in different 
pattern rotation speeds). From this relationship, a linear equation of \(y=0.565x-0.231\) was obtained. Using this, we could predict the pattern’s 
velocity to be 3.12 rpm when a gold-
fish rotated at a velocity of 1.532 rpm.
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Projection of muscimol might strongly activate IDSD due to the strength of reverse direction visual coding, which might lead to the experience of IMR. Of course, as shown in Fig. 4A, IMR may show a peak when IDSD is harmonized with optimal environmental conditions.
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