Clinicopathologic Findings after Nasolacrimal Polyurethane Stent Implantations
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Purpose: To evaluate the results of nasolacrimal polyurethane stent implantations for the treatment of primary acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction, and to determine the effects of various surgical procedures, including stent removal, in subsequent nasolacrimal duct obstruction.

Methods: This study included 15 patients who had nasolacrimal polyurethane implantations for the treatment of primary acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Occluded stents were removed either by nasal endoscopy or during dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR). Cultures and biopsies were performed on the removed stents, and the results of the secondary DCR were analyzed for a 6-month follow-up period.

Results: During stent removal surgery, various degrees of chronic inflammatory reaction and fibrous tissue formation were detected in the lacrimal sac and nasolacrimal duct. Formations of granuloma and fibrous tissue were found in 15 eyes, and culture-positive reaction were found in nine of the 15 eyes. Conventional dacryocystorhinostomy surgery was performed in nine of the 15 eyes and a silicone tube was located at the canaliculi. Subjective and objective outcome were favorable in 13 of the 15 eyes.

Conclusions: The success rate of nasolacrimal polyurethane stent implantation for the treatment of primary acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction is low. This may result from a chronic inflammatory reaction. Despite the low success rate of nasolacrimal polyurethane stent implantation, the success rate of endonasal DCR as a subsequent surgery is favorable.
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tomography and silicone tube intubation have been advocated for lacrimal duct obstruction.

The purpose of this retrospective study was to determine the long-term durability of nasolacrimal polyurethane stents and to determine the effectiveness of various surgical procedures after occluded stent removal.

Materials and Methods

Between July 2002 and August 2004, polyurethane stents were removed from the lacrimal drainage systems of 15 patients who had recurrent acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction after the insertion of a polyurethane stent. Endonasal dacryocystorhinostomies were performed for epiphora correction by the Department of Ophthalmology at Busan Paik Hospital of Inje University. The patient group (n=15) consisted of 5 men and 10 women who ranged in age from 40 to 72 years (mean age, 57.53±9.95 years).

All patients had severe epiphora. Nasolacrimal duct obstruction was confirmed using the prolonged dye retention test and lacrimal irrigation. The mean interval between the insertion of the polyurethane stent and the presentation of severe epiphora (grade III-IV on Munk's scale) was 5.93±1.33 years.

After the removal of the occluded polyurethane stent, we performed an endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy on each patient. Clinical examinations were performed one day and one week after the occluded stent removal, and again at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months. Dacryocystography and flushing with saline solution were carried out only if patients reported the recurrence of epiphora. The average follow-up period was 13.6±2.2 months.

Epiphora was evaluated subjectively according to the scale of Munk et al: grade 0 indicates no epiphora; grade 1 indicates occasional epiphora requiring drying or dabbing less than twice a day; grade 2 indicates epiphora that requires drying two to four times a day; grade 3 indicates epiphora that requires drying five to 10 times a day; grade 4 indicates epiphora that requires drying more than 10 times a day; and grade 5 indicates constant tear overflow. The criterion for inclusion in the study group was grade 3-4 epiphora that requires drying two to four times a day; grade 3 indicates epiphora that requires drying five to 10 times a day; and grade 5 indicates constant tear overflow. The criterion for the recurrence of epiphora was not necessary to remove the uncinate process of the ethmoid.

The probe was then used to tent the medial wall of the sac on its posterior aspect, while the sac was opened vertically along its entire length, creating a large anterior flap. The vertical cut was supplemented with cuts at the superior and inferior ends, allowing the anterior and posterior lacrimal sac flaps to open outward, away from the sac lumen. The eventual rhinostomy size was 15 mm×20 mm. The bone removed included parts of the frontal process of the maxilla and root of middle turbinate and all of the lacrimal bone. It was not necessary to remove the uncinate process of the ethmoid.

Results

Fifteen eyes with failed stents underwent dacryocystorhinostomy combined with silicone tube implantation at an average of 5.93±1.33 years after initial stent placement. Stent failure was confirmed by identifying re-obstruction using the dye disappearance test and irrigation with saline solution.

In surgery, the lacrimal sac was shrunk and firmly attached to the lateral nasal wall. The incision was started 8 mm above the insertion of the middle turbinate, and then anterior to the axilla of the middle turbinate for 8 mm. A vertical incision was made to just above the inferior turbinate insertion, which was taken posteriorly to the insertion of the uncinate process. A scalpel was used to cut a mucosal flap trapdoor in the lateral nasal wall. The incision was started 8 mm above the insertion of the middle turbinate, and then anterior to the axilla of the middle turbinate for 8 mm. A vertical incision was made to just above the inferior turbinate insertion, which was taken posteriorly to the insertion of the uncinate process. A suction Freer elevator (Martin, Tutlingen, Germany) was used to elevate the mucosal flap in a layer of mucoperiosteum. The thick lacrimal bone was elevated off the posterior half of the lower lacrimal sac up to the insertion of the uncinate process. There is always approximately 3 to 4 mm of thin lacrimal bone overlying the posteroinferior portion of the sac before the origin of uncinate process. With the use of a Hajek-Koefler forward-biting punch (Martin, Tutlingen, Germany), the hard, thick bone of the frontal process of the maxilla was removed and the inferior half of the sac uncovered. Bone removal was continued upward for about 5 to 8 mm until the bone became too thick to remove with the punch and access was more difficult. A Bowman's probe (Martin, Tutlingen, Germany) was passed horizontally through the canaliculi and the medial wall of the sac was tented to ensure that all bone was removed over the lacrimal sac and common canaliculus opening. The eventual rhinostomy size was 15 mm×20 mm. The bone removed included parts of the frontal process of the maxilla and root of middle turbinate and all of the lacrimal bone. It was not necessary to remove the uncinate process of the ethmoid.

Upon removal of the polyurethane nasolacrimal stent, endoscopic monitoring and a biopsy were performed if the lacrimal sac and mucosal layer exhibited inflammation, granulation, or fibrotic change. Histopathologic evaluations of the biopsy specimens were performed.
to the stent by fibrous bands in all eyes. Inflammation and granulation tissue growth were detected in the polyurethane nasolacrimal stents (Fig. 1). Tissue growth covered and intruded into the mushroom heads of the stents. Because of these adhesions, the stents were removed with difficulty. The sac walls were thin, hyperemic, and fragile. Particular effort was made not to damage the sac walls during stent removal. Dacryocystorhinostomy was combined with silicone intubation to prevent the closure of the mucosal anastomosis due to possible excessive inflammatory response and scarring.

Histologic examinations of tissue samples obtained from the removed stents and from the lacrimal sac biopsy specimens showed chronic inflammation, granulomatous inflammation, and the variable loss of differentiated epithelial cells ranging from a denuded epithelium to basal cell hyperplasia, which is often associated with squamous metaplasia.

Of the 15 samples, nine (60%) yielded a positive microbial culture. Of the patients with positive culture results, all had pure cultures with a single pathogen; there were no mixed
cultures. All of the microorganisms in our study were gram-negative bacteria. The most frequently observed bacterial species was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which was isolated from 40% (six) of the 15 samples and represented 66.6% of the total culture-positive bacterial isolates.

During patients' final visits, objective and subjective improvements were reported in 13 out of 15 eyes.

### Table 1. Epiphora characteristics and surgical results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Song's tube insertion</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>OP</th>
<th>F/U period</th>
<th>Results at last F/U</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Munk's scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/54</td>
<td>10 yrs</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/40</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/70</td>
<td>7 yrs</td>
<td>K. oxytoca</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/45</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/57</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>K. pneumoniae</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/61</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/72</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>no growth</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/38</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>no growth</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/46</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/55</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>K. pneumoniae</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/60</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/70</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>no growth</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/56</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>no growth</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/49</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
<td>no growth</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/70</td>
<td>7 yrs</td>
<td>no growth</td>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

Epiphora is a very common condition in ophthalmologic practice, constituting an important portion of lacrimal ophthalmologic practice. It is an annoying disability and, when untreated, tends to result in a vicious cycle including chronic irritative lacrimal conjunctivitis and, sometimes, an eczematous condition on the eyelids. Treatment options for epiphora include; probing, silicone tubing, dacryocystorhinos-
tomy, and radiologic intervention such as baloon dacryocystoplasty and lacrimal duct stent placement.

Song et al attempted to demonstrate the value of an expandable metallic stent in the lacrimal system, but the metallic stent had some limitations. First, it could be removed only by surgery; second, it lacked longitudinal flexibility; and finally, it was easily obstructed by granulation tissue. Plastic-nylon stents, which overcome these disadvantages, have gained favor. However, previous baloon dilation is required for these stents and in many cases, the stent needs to be cut or shortened, because its rigidity causes discomfort when it rests on the base of the nasal fossa.

These limitations induced Song et al to design the new, soft, polyurethane stent. The polyurethane nasolacrimal stent has advantages over external dacryocystorhinostomy in the treatment of nasolacrimal duct obstruction. The nasolacrimal stent implantation is an interventional radiologic procedure rather than an invasive surgery. In nasolacrimal stent implantation, the total procedure time is short, the administration of general anesthesia is not necessary, patient discomfort and bleeding are minimal, facial skin incisions and osteotomy are not needed, and the natural pathway of tear drainage is preserved.

The early results of polyurethane stent placement were comparable with the results of external dacryocystorhinostomy. Success rates ranged from 85% to 98% in studies with follow-up periods of less than one year. Subsequent studies with longer follow-up periods, however, reported lower success rates. Kang et al reported that the long-term success rate of polyurethane stents decreased to 12.3% after three years and 5.3% after five years. In our study, the mean interval between the insertion of a polyurethane stent and the presentation of severe epiphora (Grade III-IV on Munk's score) was 5.93±1.33 years; all the patients were diagnosed using a dye disappearance test and DCG.

Despite low success rates in long-term observations, there are few reports regarding dacryocystorhinostomy after occluded stent removal is insufficient. In 1996, Song et al observed that stents did not seem to disturb subsequent dacryocystorhinostomy. However, Yazici et al. reported that the lacrimal sac was shrunken in all eyes that underwent external dacryocystorhinostomies ducts because of stent failure.

In the largest study reporting on the microbiology of the adult dacryocystitis, which involved 236 patients from a previous study, gram-positive bacteria accounted for 54.0% of the overall microbial studies. Song et al observed the growth of granulation tissue in stent cavities in 65% of the occluded stents. However in our study, the relative frequency of gram-negative bacteria was accounted high. Also, P. aeruginosa accounted for 66.6% of the gram-negative bacteria.

In conclusion, polyurethane stent implantation did not seem to be the primary choice for treatment of lacrimal obstruction, and long-term prognoses were not favorable due to long-term occlusion from detritus or the growth of inflammatory tissue.

Our study suggests that most of the adult patients with polyurethane stent implantation harbor microorganism in their lacrimal sacs. After the removal of the occluded polyurethane stent, shrinkage and contracture of the lacrimal sac might have a negative effect on the subsequent operative procedure and the results of the operation. Although these alterations may cause difficulties in subsequent DCR, epiphora can still be relieved with meticulous surgery. In patients with nasolacrimal polyurethane stents implantation and evidence of nasolacrimal duct obstruction, it is recommended endonasal dacryocystorhinostomy with silicone tube implantation and antimicrobial prophylaxis.
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