Polytetrafluoroethylene as a Spacer Graft for the Correction of Lower Eyelid Retraction
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Purpose: To evaluate the efficacy of porous expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (e-PTFE, Goretex®) containing large pores made with a 21-gauge needle as a graft for the correction of lower lid retraction.

Methods: e-PTFE grafts were implanted between the tarsus and lower lid retractor via a transconjunctival approach with or without amniotic membrane transplantation, or via a transcutaneous approach. Rabbits were examined and assessed for corneal and conjunctival complications and for e-PTFE graft status. Rabbits were sacrificed for a histological study at 8 weeks postoperatively.

Results: e-PTFE grafts were uniformly extruded 3 weeks postoperatively in eyelids operated on via the transconjunctival approach. However, rabbits operated on via the transcutaneous approach demonstrated e-PTFE graft retention; in addition, dense fibrovascular ingrowths into the large pores of e-PTFE were observed histologically.

Conclusions: e-PTFE is a good substitute for other graft materials as a spacer in lower lid retraction operations, especially as an interpositional graft using a transcutaneous approach.
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Commonly associated with Graves’ ophthalmopathy, lower lid retraction can develop after orbital or lid surgery.¹,³ This may result in ocular discomfort, exposure keratitis and unacceptable cosmesis. Its surgical correction frequently requires a spacer graft between the tarsus and the lower lid retractor. Homologous sclera, autogenous cartilage and hard palate mucous membrane are commonly used as spacer materials, each with its own drawbacks. Absorption and the recurrence of eyelid retraction for homologous sclera,⁴-⁶ additional surgical manipulation to obtain autogenous cartilage⁷ and hard palate⁸ require new synthetic materials. Expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (e-PTFE) (Gortex, Gore and Associates, Flagstaff, AZ) is an alloplastic material with many uses in reconstructive surgery.¹⁰,¹⁴ This material is inert, highly biocompatible, mechanically strong and is well tolerated by surrounding tissues, inciting little inflammatory response. In addition, it has a porous structure which is associated with capillary and connective tissue ingrowth.¹⁵,¹⁶

However, a significant drawback to using this material as a spacer for lower lid retraction occurs when one side of the implanted e-PTFE sheet is exposed, the exposed area is not subsequently covered by conjunctival or fibrous tissue and the e-PTFE sheet is eventually extruded.¹⁵ This study was conducted to validate two hypotheses: 1) Artificial large pores made with a 21 gauge needle over the e-PTFE will induce rapid fibrovascular ingrowth and anchor the e-PTFE firmly to the surrounding tissue; 2) When transplanted over the exposed e-PTFE, the amniotic membrane will facilitate conjunctival overgrowth. In addition, we attempted to select an appropriate surgical procedure for implanting e-PTFE as a lower lid spacer.

Materials and Methods

1. Graft material

e-PTFE sheet (1 mm thick; 20-30 μm pore size) was cut into 15 mm × 4 mm strips and was repeatedly perforated using a 21-gauge needle (Fig. 1).

2. Animal model

Thirty New Zealand white rabbits (2.5 kg) were used in...
this study in accordance with the guidelines established by the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO). Anesthesia was induced by an intramuscular injection of ketamine (40 mg/kg) and xylazine (6 mg/kg). Three methods were used to implant e-PTFE spacers; (a) Group A (10 lids) - a transconjunctival approach was used to detach the lower eyelid retractor complex from the inferior tarsal border (Fig. 2A). The implant was then sutured to the inferior tarsal margin superiorly and to the lower eyelid retractor inferiorly using an interrupted 6-0 polygalactin suture (Fig. 2B), (b) Group B (10 lids) - after spacer implantation through a transconjunctival incision, amniotic membrane was used to cover the e-PTFE spacer (Fig. 2C), (c) Group C (10 lids) - a transcutaneous approach was used to create a skin muscle flap, open the septum, and separate the lower eyelid retractor from the lower tarsal border (Fig. 3A). After anchoring the e-PTFE spacer with sutures, the skin wound was closed with a running 6-0 polygalactin suture (Fig. 3B). Tarsorrhaphy was performed for 1 week to immobilize and stretch the lower lids in all cases. Postoperatively, all animals received ofloxacin ophthalmic ointment twice daily. Weekly examinations of the surgical wounds, e-PTFE spacer, conjunctiva, and cornea were performed. Rabbits that demonstrated spacer retention at 8 weeks were sacrificed and the lower eyelids were completely excised, fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, stained with hematoxylin and eosin and then studied histopathologically.

**Results**

The rabbits in group A, in which the corneal side of the e-PTFE spacers was left exposed, showed conjunctival injection and corneal opacity at 1 week after spacer implantation (Fig. 4). These spacers were uniformly extruded 3 weeks after surgery. The amniotic membrane used to cover the exposed surface of the e-PTFE (group B) had melted down completely at 1 week postoperatively. There was no evidence of conjunctival overgrowth or migration onto the surface of the amniotic membrane. Conjunctival injection and corneal opacity were observed at 2 weeks after implantation and e-PTFE spacers were extruded at 3 weeks postoperatively. In group C, 10 lids showed no spacer extrusions, and

Fig. 1. Photograph of e-PTFE with pores made using a 21-gauge needle (15 mm x 4 mm).

Fig. 2. Dissection of lower eyelid through transconjunctival incision. (A) Conjunctiva and lower eyelid retractors were detached from the inferior tarsal border and recessed inferiorly. (B) e-PTFE sewn into place between the inferior tarsal border and recessed lower lid retractors. (C) Amniotic membrane was implanted over e-PTFE.
no conjunctival or corneal complications occurred during the period from placement to sacrifice for histopathological examination (at 8 weeks postoperatively).

The results of the histopathological examination of the e-PTFE demonstrated apparent ingrowth of fibrous tissue and cellular infiltration into the micro-pores that was limited to the surface area of the e-PTFE (Fig. 5A). Contrary to the micro-pores, dense fibrovascular tissue ingrowth was observed in the large pores made using a 21-gauge needle (Fig. 5B).

**Discussion**

Lower eyelid position is affected by a number of factors. Gravity and the position of the globe relative to the lower lid are two important considerations. In the presence of significant horizontal laxity, the lower eyelid may be tightened by a number of eyelid-shortening procedures. The surgical techniques and materials used to correct lower eyelid retraction must be tailored with respect to the cause of the retraction and with respect to the lamella involved. Skin grafts and rotational flaps are used to correct anterior lamellar deficiencies, whereas interpositional grafts and recession of the eyelid retractors are used for posterior lamellar abnormalities. Inferior retractor recession or extirpation of the lower lid retractor may be used for mild cases, but these are ineffective when lower lid retraction exceeds 2 mm. In addition, spacers must be used for more severe retraction of the lower eyelid.

Ideal spacers must have thickness, rigidity and contour characteristics that approximate the lower lid tarsus, a
mucosal surface, so as not to irritate the corneal surface and have no risk of rejection or absorption.

Donor sclera is useful for lower lid lengthening, but tends to be associated with recurrent retraction due to graft absorption and fibrosis, and homologous grafts carry a risk of disease transmission. Autogenous grafts including ear cartilage, hard palate and tarsal plate are limited by the amount of donor material available, donor site morbidity and increased operating time for graft harvesting. Therefore, searches have been conducted for synthetic graft materials that avoid some of these drawbacks and are easy to obtain and well tolerated.

Expanded PTFE graft material has a unique porosity. Its micro-pores (sized 20-30 µm) can allow fibrovascular tissue ingrowth and an interweaving of PTFE fibrils provides the graft material high tensile strength and minimal deformation under load. PTFE is chemically and biologically inert, non-antigenic, is easily cut, molded, sutured and is resistant to infection. For these reasons, it has been routinely used as a vascular and abdominal patch graft. Recently, it was introduced to ophthalmic reconstructive surgery and its usefulness as a wrapping material for anophthalmic implants, as a substitute for mucous membrane, as an interpositional graft material for lower eyelid retraction and as a sling material has been reported.

There are two disadvantages of e-PTFE when used as a spacer graft to correct lower eyelid retraction. First, the pore size of e-PTFE (20-30 µm) is insufficient to allow the rapid ingrowth of fibrovascular tissue. Fibrovascular ingrowth into the PTFE matrix is very important because it anchors the e-PTFE graft in place and e-PTFE is more resistant to infection. For these reasons, it has been routinely used as a vascular and abdominal patch graft. Recently, it was introduced to ophthalmic reconstructive surgery and its usefulness as a wrapping material for anophthalmic implants, as a substitute for mucous membrane, as an interpositional graft material for lower eyelid retraction and as a sling material has been reported.

Large pores made by a 21-gauge needle induced the rapid incorporation of fibrovascular tissue into the graft matrix and helped anchor the graft in place. However, fibrovascular ingrowth through micro-pores was protracted and occurred only to a limited extent at 8 weeks postoperatively. Unlike the transcutaneous incision, graft materials implanted via a transconjunctival incision invariably extruded whether covered with amniotic membrane or not. Amniotic membranes were unable to support conjunctival overgrowth or prevent this graft extrusion. This may have been caused by the negatively charged surface of the hydrophobic PTFE fibrils and by the pore size of the woven PTFE sheet utilized in these studies. Incomplete fibrovascularization through micro-pores and a lack of adhesion between the amniotic membrane and the e-PTFE graft material most likely contributed to the graft extrusion. Moreover, e-PTFE sheets used in the eyelid are a part of a dynamic structure; each blink of the eye generates a shearing force, which may differentially affect the implant-tissue interface. Grafts are likely to be exposed to higher shearing forces when not covered by conjunctiva completely, which may result in graft breakdown before integration. Although we used suture tarsorrhaphies to counteract the effect of blinking during the crucial early stages of fibrovascular ingrowth, it may have been insufficient for eyelid stabilization. These studies yet again confirm that the failure of epithelial and fibroelastic elements to migrate over and cover exposed areas of e-PTFE graft material will invariably result in graft extrusion.

Although the current experimental study could not prove that e-PTFE covered with amniotic membrane is superior to bare e-PTFE with respect to graft extrusion, using a rabbit model, we found excellent incorporation and soft tissue tolerance for the e-PTFE implant when entirely covered with a layer of conjunctival epithelium. The e-PTFE graft, which is not reabsorbed and provides excellent rigidity, may improve predictability and the long-term results of lower eyelid retraction correction. The main disadvantage of this method is the risk of extrusion, which we believe may be prevented by an appropriate surgical incision and pore size modification.

References


