In patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), diastolic dysfunction is common and most frequently assessed by the transmitral flow velocity pattern with the use of the pulsed Doppler technique (1-4). Transmitral inflow velocity pattern is determined by transmitral pressure gradient reflecting the status of myocardial relaxation and left atrial (LA)
pressure. As diastolic function worsens and/or LA pressure increases, mitral flow velocity patterns change predictably. One limitation of mitral inflow velocity pattern for the evaluation of diastolic function is a “pseudo-normalized” pattern when LA pressure is moderately elevated in the setting of delayed myocardial relaxation which is often difficult to be distinguished from true normal filling pattern. In that situation, pulmonary vein Doppler velocity recording is helpful to assess diastolic function and filling pressure (5-7).

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is becoming an attractive alternative technique to assess HCM with clear delineation of cardiac structures and detection of myocardial fibrosis using delayed enhancement (8). Moreover, since phase-contrast MR imaging allows quantitative assessment of transvalvular blood velocity, with the advantage of the topographic plane of interest being positioned optimally, it would be helpful if diastolic function could be assessed by cardiac MRI in patients with HCM. Up to now, a few studies demonstrated the usefulness of diastolic function analysis using cardiac MRI (9-11); however, none of these previous studies focused specifically on phase-contrast MRI through the mitral valve and pulmonary vein in patients with HCM. The purpose of this study was to assess the role of cardiac MRI in the assessment of diastolic function using quantification of flow across the mitral valve and pulmonary vein in patients with HCM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
From March 2008 to November of 2009, cardiac MRIs for 59 patients (44 men and 15 women, mean age; 51 years, age range; 21–73 years) with HCM were performed. Of the 59 patients, 50 underwent cardiac MRI and echocardiography on the same day, and 9 underwent cardiac MRI within one week from the time of the echocardiography examination. The diagnosis of HCM was based on the echocardiographic demonstration of a non-dilated and hypertrophied left ventricle in the absence of other cardiac or systemic diseases that could produce left ventricular hypertrophy (12). A non-dilated left ventricle was defined as a left ventricular end-diastolic dimension of less than 52 mm (13). Our institutional review board approved this study, and we got informed consent from all patients.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging
All MR studies were performed using a 1.5-T scanner (Magnetom Avanto, Siemens AG Medical Solutions; Erlangen, Germany) using a 32-channel, phased-array, dedicated cardiac coil in the supine position. With scout images to identify the left ventricle in the horizontal long axis view, cine images were acquired using a balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) technique to permit the visualization of the tips of the mitral valve leaflets. To get the cross-sectional flow information, an imaging plane was planned parallel to the mitral annulus at the level of the mitral leaflet tips using a 4-chamber image (Fig. 1). After identification of the right superior pulmonary vein, phase-contrast MRI was also performed perpendicular to that proximal at the junction of the right superior pulmonary vein with the left atrium (Fig. 2). Phase-contrast MR were obtained with the following parameters: slice thickness 6 mm, matrix 192 × 132, repetition time 5.0 ms, echo time 2.5 ms, field of view 32 to 34 cm, flip angle 30°, 30 reconstructed cardiac phases with retrospective cardiac gating. The temporal resolution of the flow graph was defined by the heart beat interval and the number of reconstructed phases: for a heartbeat of 60 beats/min, 33 ms. The temporal resolution was defined by the repetition time (10) and the number of velocity encoding acquisition plus one velocity compensated acquisition: 50 ms (2 × TR × number of segments). The velocity-encoding gradient values were 200 cm/s for mitral valves and 100 cm/s for pulmonary veins. Acquisitions were acquired during suspended inspiration. Inflow patterns through the mitral valve and pulmonary vein were analyzed on a Siemens MR workstation (Syngo Argus Flow Analysis; Siemens Medical Solutions; Erlangen, Germany). An experienced radiologist who had three years of experience with cardiac MRI put a region of interest (ROI) within the mitral valve and pulmonary vein and traced the lumen using semi-automated edge-detection algorithm on all phases with additional manual adjustment of any inaccurate traces. The calculated inflow parameters of the mitral valve included early (E) and late peak (A) velocities (cm/s),
E/A ratio, and deceleration time (ms). The inflow parameters of the pulmonary vein were systolic (S), diastolic (D), and late arterial systolic filling (Ar) wave velocities. Diastolic function was graded following the classification based on Doppler echocardiography using the transmitral flow parameters (11): normal and pseudo-normal (grade 2) \(0.75 < \frac{E}{A} \leq 1.5\), deceleration time > 140 ms); grade 1 (impaired relaxation: \(\frac{E}{A} \leq 0.75\); and grade 3 (restrictive filling: \(\frac{E}{A} > 1.5\) and deceleration time < 140 ms) by the consensus of two radiologists each with 20 years and three years of experience with cardiac MRI, respectively without the knowledge of echocardiographic findings. Pulmonary vein flow parameters were used for differentiating
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**Fig. 1.** Image acquisition and analysis of phase-contrast MRI at the level of the mitral valve (MV).

a. Cine four-chamber image demonstrating the prescription of slice at the level of the tips of the MV leaflets.

b. Phase-contrast MRI short-axis image at the level of MV leaflet tips. The contour was drawn on the leaflets as shown including the whole cross-section of the mitral inflow.

c. Phase image corresponding to the magnitude images.

d. Mitral flow obtained by phase-contrast MR demonstrated peaks in early diastole (E wave) and atrial systole (A wave) and deceleration time (DT). On the MRI flow curve, a vertical line was drawn from the peak of E wave to intersect the baseline, which displayed a time delay at which the E-wave peak occurred. A second line was drawn from the peak of the E wave following the downslope intersecting the baseline, which gave the time delay of the E wave. The time delay of the peak E wave was subtracted from the time delay of the E wave downslope intersecting the baseline to calculate the DT.

e. Measurement of transmitral flow velocity in the transthoracic echocardiography. Ultrasound beam needs to be parallel with the direction of blood flow to obtain the optimal flow signal.
pseudo-normal from normal diastolic function; when S < D or duration of Ar duration was longer than A duration (late peak velocities measured on mitral valve) + 30 ms (14, 15). Disagreement between the two radiologists was resolved in conference with a third observer who had 10 years of experience in echocardiography and two years of experience in cardiac MRI.

**Transthoracic echocardiography**

Echocardiographic images were reviewed independently without knowledge of the cardiac MR findings by consensus of two experienced cardiologists with 10 and 25 years experiences in echocardiography. The patients’ diastolic function was classified as normal (grade 0), impaired relaxation (grade 1), pseudo-normal (grade 2), and restrictive (grade 3) according to the current guidelines using echocardiographic diastolic parameters as follows; isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT), transmitral inflow parameters (E and A velocities, E/A ratio, deceleration time), mitral tissue Doppler velocity (early [e’] and late [a’] peak diastolic velocity), and pulmonary venous inflow pattern (7, 16, 17). Grades 1 to 3 were considered as “positive” for diastolic dysfunction (7, 16, 17).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data collection and analysis were performed using previously reported methodology (10, 11) with some modifications. Transmitral inflow parameters using MRI and echocardiography were compared by linear regression and Pearson’s correlation method.

Fig. 2. Image acquisition and analysis of phase-contrast MRI at the level of the pulmonary vein.

a. Cine four-chamber image demonstrating the position of a slice 1 cm inside the right superior pulmonary vein entrance into the left atrium.
b, c. Phase-contrast MRI cross-section image of the right superior pulmonary vein with contours.
d. Pulmonary vein flow obtained by phase-contrast MR represented antegrade peaks in systole (S) and early diastole (D) and a retrograde peak in atrial systole (Ar).
Comparison between E/A ratios in both modalities was further performed using a Bland-Altman analysis. Diastolic function grades of cardiac MRI using flow information through the mitral valve and pulmonary vein were compared with those of echocardiography using Cohen’s kappa statistics (18). A value of 1 indicates perfect agreement, and a value of 0 indicates no agreement. In general, values less than 0.40 indicate poor agreement, values between 0.41 and 0.60 indicate moderate agreement, values between 0.61 and 0.80 indicate good agreement, and values above 0.81 indicate very good agreement. Statistical significance was calculated at a 95% confidence interval (P < 0.05). Data processing and analysis were performed with a commercially available software program (SPSS, version 10.0; Chicago, IL., USA).

RESULTS

Patients’ demographic and echocardiographic characteristics

The demographic and echocardiographic characteristics of the 59 patients have been summarized in Table 1. Of 59 patients, the most common type of HCM was asymmetric septal type in 36 patients, followed by apical type (n = 13), mixed septal and apical type (n = 5), and symmetric diffuse HCM (n = 5). Forty-nine patients had a diastolic dysfunction; grade 1 (n = 20), grade 2 (n = 27), and grade 3 (n = 2) using echocardiography, and ten patients had normal diastolic function.

Comparison of diastolic parameters between MRI and echocardiography

MRI successfully obtained all diastolic parameters (E velocity, A velocity, deceleration time, and S, D, and Ar) in all patients. For the 59 patients, E velocity was 38 ± 12 cm/s using MRI versus 63 ± 18 cm/s using echocardiography (echocardiography E velocity = 0.67 × MRI E velocity + 37, Pearson’s r value = 0.47, P < 0.001), and A velocity was 36 ± 14 cm/s using MRI versus 63 ± 21 cm/s using echocardiography (echocardiography A velocity = 0.90 × MRI A velocity + 31, Pearson’s r value = 0.60, P < 0.001).

Despite the positive correlation between the two modalities, the overall E and A velocities using MRI were lower than with those of echocardiography. The E/A ratio was 1.22 ± 0.64 using MRI and 1.13 ± 0.62 using echocardiography (echocardiography E/A = 0.73 × MRI E/A + 0.2, Pearson’s r value = 0.75, P < 0.001) (Table 2) (Fig. 3). Further, the Bland-Altman analysis showed a mean difference between echocardiographic E/A ratio and MRI E/A ratio of −0.085 ± 0.45 (SD) (Fig. 4). Deceleration time between the two examinations (190 ± 56 ms using MRI and 237 ± 78 ms using echocardiography) showed a poor correlation (echocardiography deceleration time = 0.56 × MRI deceleration time + 132 ms, Pearson’s r value = 0.40, P = 0.02) (Table 2) (Fig. 3).

Comparison of diastolic dysfunction grades categorized by MRI and echocardiography

When diastolic function was categorized with only transmitral inflow information (E, A, E/A, deceleration time), 19 patients (32%) had same diastolic dysfunction grades with that of echocardiography (17 of grade 1 and two of grade 3). However, 25 patients (42%) could not be determined between normal and pseudo-normal filling. Judging from the flow information of the pulmonary vein (the ratio of S and D, and Ar)
from cardiac MRI, the 25 patients could be subsequently categorized into normal (n = 17) and pseudo-normal (n = 8) groups, and 59% (35/59) of patients had the same grades with those of echocardiography, which was significant higher than when they were graded without the information of pulmonary vein velocities (32%, 19/59; P < 0.001). The assessment of diastolic function grade of phase-contrast MRI showed moderate agreement (kappa value = 0.45, P < 0.001) with that of echocardiography. Moreover, with the information for transmitial inflow and pulmonary vein velocities, the accuracy of phase-contrast MRI for the diagnosis of diastolic dysfunction was 81.4%.

A comprehensive evaluation of ventricular function comprises the assessment of both left ventricular systolic and diastolic function. Cardiac MRI has been considered as a gold-standard for the evaluation of systolic function because of the high reproducibility of the results (19). For the assessment of diastolic function, Doppler echocardiography has been commonly used due to its relative low cost and easily accessible characteristics; however, limited acoustic windows and the operator-dependent characteristics have been the main problems related to this type of examination. Phase-contrast MRI is a reliable modality for quantifying...
blood flow and several previous studies described the use of phase-contrast MRI for the evaluation of diastolic function (9–11, 15, 20, 21). MRI is advantageous in providing information on myocardial tissue characterization with late gadolinium enhancement (8, 22–24), while information on diastolic function with phase-contrast MRI would be an incremental value for HCM patients.

In this study, we revealed positive correlation between echocardiography and MRI in estimating transmitral inflow parameters (E velocity, A velocity, and E/A ratio) for HCM patients. We also assessed the feasibility and usefulness of pulmonary vein velocities on MRI, which is considered as other incrementally useful diastolic function parameters. Results indicated that MRI successfully obtained all trans-pulmonary vein flow parameters (S, D, and Ar) for all patients. All patients were successfully categorized into diastolic function grades based on information of pulmonary vein velocities, and 59% patients were concordant with the grades from echocardiography, which were significant higher than those graded without information about pulmonary vein velocities. Despite the similar pattern, the overall E and A velocities using MRI was lower than that with echocardiography, which was in agreement with previous studies (9–11). The relatively lower temporal resolution of the breath-hold phase-contrast MRI technique might help explain the lower peak velocities measured using MRI compared with echocardiography. Recently, powerful gradients and faster computing power have led to shorter echo time and repetition time with better results than previous studies (10). These technical improvements could have also led to real-time MRI applications. And Application of three- or four-dimensional and free-breathing techniques of phase-contrast MRI may even further improve the accuracy of diastolic function analysis in these patients and overcome difficulties related to the motion of the mitral valve plane during

### Table 2. Diastolic Parameters and Grades of Echocardiography and Phase-contrast MRI for 59 Patients with Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Echocardiography</th>
<th>Phase-contrast MRI</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mitral inflow peak E velocity (cm/s)</td>
<td>63 ± 18 (29–99)</td>
<td>38 ± 12 (14–68)</td>
<td>r = .47</td>
<td>Y = 0.67x + 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral inflow peak A velocity (cm/s)</td>
<td>63 ± 21 (21–131)</td>
<td>36 ± 14 (11–88)</td>
<td>r = .60</td>
<td>Y = 0.90x + 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral E/A*</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.62 (0.27–4.38)</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.64 (0.39–3.54)</td>
<td>r = .75</td>
<td>Y = 0.73x + 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral DT (ms) *</td>
<td>237 ± 78 (123–501)</td>
<td>190 ± 56 (60–360)</td>
<td>r = .40</td>
<td>Y = 0.56x + 132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.— *Data are mean values with standard deviations, and numbers in parentheses are ranges.

† Without the flow information of the pulmonary vein from cardiac MRI, pseudo-normal diastolic function could not be distinguished from true normal filling pattern.

r = Pearson’s correlation coefficient; k= Cohen’s kappa statistics; In the regression equations, Y = parameters on echocardiography, X = parameters on phase-contrast MR
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the cardiac cycle (11, 25, 26).

There were several limitations to the present study. First, the number of patients was small because this study was performed at a single institution. Second, the patients did not undergo left ventricular catheterization which has been regarded as the gold standard of diastolic function analysis. However, with respect to invasive characteristics, catheterization is seldom used in the clinical setting. Third, we evaluated MRI-based diastolic function grades using transmitral and pulmonary vein inflow pattern, and compared that with diastolic function grades evaluated by echocardiography using IVRT, transmitral inflow parameters, mitral tissue Doppler velocity, and pulmonary venous inflow. We think this is the main reason why the diastolic function grades are different between echocardiography and MRI, even though velocities, ratio, and DT show good correlation between two modalities. Although echocardiography is the modality of choice for assessing diastolic function, assessment of diastolic dysfunction is not that simple; to maximize the accuracy of echocardiography for diastolic dysfunction, a lot of information (IVRT, transmitral inflow parameters, mitral tissue Doppler velocity, and pulmonary venous inflow pattern) are all summed up. However, we could not examine all of the parameters with MRI, because of the scan time is limited and clinical usefulness for the parameters hasn't been confirmed with MRI. Finally, we analyzed the MR inflow information according to echocardiography criteria because there was no established criterion for grading diastolic function specifically on MRI. Further prospective study is needed regarding the guidelines for using MR in diastolic function grading. We anticipate that our results may be used as baseline data for future studies in the establishment of criteria for the grading of diastolic function analysis specifically through MRI.

In conclusions, assessment of left ventricle diastolic function is feasible using phase-contrast MRI in patients with HCM. Analysis of pulmonary vein flow velocity on MRI gives additional information on diastolic function of the left ventricle.

Disclosures
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References
비대성심근중 환자의 이완기능평가: 승모판과 폐정맥 유속을 측정한 위상차 MRI의 이용

1성균관대학교 의과대학 심장내과
2가천대 길병원 영상의학과
3성균관대학교 의과대학 심장내과 순환기내과
4Mayo Clinic College of Medicine

김은영1,2∙최연현1∙김성목1∙이성철3∙장성아3∙오재건3,4

배경: 심장의 이완기능 이상은 비대성심근중 환자에서 흔히 발견되는 문제이다. 본 연구는 비대성심근중 환자의 심장 이완기능을 평가하는 데 있어, 승모판과 폐정맥의 유속을 이용한 MRI의 역할을 알아보고자 하였다.

방법과 결과: 승모판과 폐정맥의 유속을 이용한 위상차 MRI와 심초음파검사가 59명 (남:여 = 44:15, 평균 연령 = 51세)의 비대성심근중 환자에서 시행되었다. 49명의 환자가 심초음파검사에서 다양한 정도의 심장 이완기능 이상을 보였다: grade 1 (20명), grade 2 (27명), and grade 3 (2명). MRI로 계산한 승모판 유속인자들 (E, A, E/A ratios)은 심초음파검사로 구한 동일 인자들과 양의 상관관계를 보였다 (Pearson's r values = 0.47, 0.60, 0.75 for E, A, E/A, respectively, all P < 0.001). MRI로 폐정맥 유속정보를 이용하는 경우, pseudo-normalized pattern (8명)이 정상 충만 양상(filling pattern) (17명)과 구분될 수 있었고, 이들을 이용하여 이완 기능 정도를 평가 하는 경우, 심장 MRI가 심초음파검사와 중등도의 일치도를 보였다 (kappa value = 0.45, P < 0.001).

결론: 비대성심근중 환자에서 위상차 MRI를 이용한 심장 이완기능 평가는 임상적으로 적용 가능한 방법이며, 폐정맥 유속 분석을 추가하는 경우, 정상과 pseudo-normal을 구분하는데 유용하다.
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