Acute renal failure (ARF) remains a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in adults and children. Although developing a treatment for ARF has been a challenge, there have been some advances in preventative strategies and supportive measures (1). Cell therapy, including the use of stem cells and progenitor...
cells, has recently attracted a great deal of interest as a new therapeutic strategy for ARF (2). Recently, Brodsky et al. (3) demonstrated that implantation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) resulted in functional protection from ischemic ARF. Important considerations for improving cell-based therapy for renal failure include the delivery of cells to the site of renal injury and successful engraftment. Noninvasive imaging techniques allow in vivo monitoring of cell location and can therefore be of great value for improving cell-based therapy for renal failure.

Evaluation of transplanted or injected therapeutic cells is currently conducted by histologic analysis. Although histologic analysis allows direct visualization of therapeutic cells on tissue, it cannot be used for in vivo cell tracking. Advances in cell labeling techniques have allowed many researchers to track cells in vivo with molecular imaging such as bioluminescence, nuclear or MR imaging. Nowadays, there is an increasing interest in in vivo cell tracking with high-spatial-resolution magnetic resonance (MR) imaging due to its ability to depict detailed anatomy (4, 5). Superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) particles, which have been used as a contrast agent in clinical medical imaging, are already being used to label cells for in vivo MR cell tracking (6–11). Different classes of transfection agents such as polycationic amines, dendrimers, and lipid-based agents have been employed to efficiently load cultured cells with enough SPIO for in vivo MR imaging (12). It has been reported that PLL, a polycationic amine, has little cytotoxicity and can be used for effective transfection efficiency in mammalian cells (12). The utility of MR cell tracking with SPIO-labeled stem cells has been shown in the experimental monitoring of cell-based therapies for myocardial infarction (9, 13), adult and neonatal mice brain,10 and a rat model of nephropathy (11). MR cell tracking imaging with inflammatory cells has also been applied to conditions such as graft rejection after transplantation (14) and adhesion of natural killer cells to tumors (15). To our knowledge, no study using MR imaging has been performed on tracking intravenously injected SPIO-labeled endothelial cells in rats with induced ARF, which may have a role in the recovery of deteriorated renal function.

Thus, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the usefulness of in vivo MR imaging for monitoring the distribution of HUVECs after intravenous injection in a rat model for unilateral ischemic acute renal failure.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. **In Vitro Experiments**

   **Cell Cultures**

   Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were prepared from human umbilical cords by collagenase digestion as previously described (16). The endothelial identity of the cultures was confirmed by the detection of factor VIII by immunofluorescence. HUVECs were maintained in M199 medium supplemented with 20% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The primary cultured cells in this study were used between passages 2 and 4.

   **Cell Labeling with Ferumoxides-PLL complexes and CellTracker**

   PLL hydrobromide (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) was used as the transfection agent. The PLL (1.5 mg/mL) was added to the culture media at a dilution of 1:1,000 and mixed with ferumoxides (Feridex 50 μg/mL; Wayne, NJ, USA) for 60 minutes at room temperature on a rotating shaker. These culture media containing the ferumoxides-PLL complex were added to the cells such that the final concentration of ferumoxides was 25 μg/mL and the final dilution of PLL was 1:2,000. The cell cultures were kept overnight at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere (17). After labeling, cells were washed two times and resuspended in complete media. Cell viability was maintained at greater than 95% in all instances and was monitored before and after labeling. There was no difference observed in cell viability (95%) between the ferumoxides-PLL labeled and unlabeled HUVECs.

   Before injection, HUVECs were loaded with Green-fluorescent fluorescein diacetate (CellTracker, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) according to the manufacture’s protocol. Briefly, confluent HUVECs were lifted with trypsin-EDTA and suspended. CellTracker (10 μM) was added to the HUVECs and HUVECS were incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C. After centrifugation at 1,500 rpm and 4°C, M199 media was added, and the HUVECs were incubated an additional 15 minutes at 37°C. After being washed
two times with PBS, HUVECs were resuspended in PBS at a final concentration of \(6 \times 10^6\) cells/mL. The cell suspension was kept on ice until transplantation, but for no longer than 30 minutes. Fluorescent images of the labeled cells were obtained to confirm the thoroughness of the cell labeling.

**Labeling Stability Study in HUVECs**

To study labeling stability, cultured HUVECs were divided into three groups, each with the same number of cells (1 \(\times\) 10^6 cells each). HUVECs were labeled by means of incubation with ferumoxides-PLL complexes overnight and then were replated in a 10-cm culture dish. After 1, 3, and 5 days, all HUVECs were washed three times, treated with trypsin, and harvested. After centrifugation, HUVECs were then suspended in PBS for the MR relaxation measurements. All samples were placed in a head coil provided by the manufacturer and imaged with a clinical 1.5-T MR imager (Magnetom Symphony, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). The T2* relaxation time was determined by using a multiple gradient-echo sequence, with a fixed repetition time of 1000 msec, flip angle of 30°, and 5 echoes starting at 7.5 msec. R2* (1/T2*) was deduced by fitting a single exponential function to the signal intensity versus TE data (TE of 7.5 – 60 msec and fixed TR). It has been well recognized that R2* depends linearly on the global iron concentration of the sample (18).

### 2. In Vivo Experiments

Inbred male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Korea, Seoul, Korea) were maintained on standard laboratory chow and water ad libitum. ARF was induced by unilateral (left) renal artery ischemia followed by the reperfusion of blood flow. Briefly, rats (n=12) were anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of 100 mg/kg ketamine and 10 mg/kg xylazine. The animals were placed on a heating table to maintain constant body temperature. A midline incision was made and the left renal pedicle was exposed and clamped for 40 minutes. After the clamp was removed, the kidney was inspected for restoration of blood flow. After abdominal wall closure, HUVECs (6 \(\times\) 10^6 cells) labeled with SPIO and CellTracker according to the above methods were diluted in 300–400 \(\mu\)L PBS and injected into the tail vein of the ARF rats. Rats (n=8) injected with labeled HUVECs were imaged at 1, 3, 5, and 7 days after injection with a 1.5-T MR imager. Rats (n=2) injected with unlabeled HUVECs and sham-operated rats (n=2) injected with labeled HUVECs were imaged 1 day after injection to evaluate signal intensity changes in the kidney. At days 1, 3, 5, and 7, the animals were sacrificed and both kidneys were harvested for histologic examination.

### 3. MR Imaging

All in vivo MR images of the rats were obtained by a 1.5-T clinical MR imager (Magnetom Symphony, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) using a small flexible coil with a width of 17 cm provided by the manufacturer. Rats were positioned prone in the flexible coil. Then, fat-suppressed, coronal, and transverse MR images were obtained using a gradient-echo sequence with 500/13 (TR/TE, msec), 30° flip angle, 75 mm field of view, 2.5 mm section thickness, 256 \(\times\) 192 matrix, and eight signals were acquired to evaluate signal decay in the kidneys. Both kidneys were imaged on the same imaging plane. MR images of the rats were obtained after 1 \(\times\) 10^6 HUVECs were injected through tail veins at days 1, 3, 5, and 7. After injection of labeled HUVECs, low signal intensity was observed predominantly in the outer medulla of the left kidney, in which ARF had been induced. Signal intensity values at the outer medulla and cortex of the left kidney were measured to calculate the ratio of signal decrease due to the presence of labeled HUVECs. Signal intensity values for low signal intensity regions were measured on serial MR images using the region of interest in the outer medulla of the left kidney, which ARF had been induced. Signal intensity values for high signal intensity were measured in the cortex of the left kidney. The ratio of signal decrease (Srsd) in the outer medulla of the left kidney was determined by using the equation Srsd = [(Sco – Som)/ Sco] \(\times\) 100, where Sco is the signal intensity value measured in the renal cortex and Som is the signal intensity value measured in the outer medulla of the kidney. The ratio of signal decrease in the right kidney was also measured with the same method as in the left kidney.
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4. Analysis

Histologic Examination

Renal tissue was prepared after in vivo MRI examination. The tissue blocks of rat kidney were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and processed for paraffin embedding. Histopathology was examined on 5 μm slides stained by hematoxylin and eosin, and Prussian blue staining for iron was used by implementing standard methods on the kidney sections from the ferumoxides-PLL labeled group (n = 8 with ARF, n = 2 sham operation) and unlabeled controls (n = 2). To examine Cell Tracker-labeled HUVECs, a fluorescent microscope (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) was used on fixed frozen sections of kidney tissue.

Fig. 1. Light, fluorescent and electron microscopic findings for labeled and unlabeled HUVECs.

a. Light micrograph of Prussian blue-stained HUVECs. Unlabeled cells (upper panel) show no iron staining after a Prussian blue stain and cells labeled with ferumoxides-PLL complex (lower panel) show blue/iron staining (arrows) in the cytoplasm. Bar = 50 μm.

b. Fluorescent micrograph of HUVECs unlabeled (upper panel) and labeled (lower panel) with CellTracker. HUVECs were incubated with Celltracker for 15 minutes. Essentially all HUVECs labeled with CellTracker appear green fluorescent. Bar = 50 μm.

c. Electron micrograph of SPIO labeled HUVECs showed electron dense SPIO particles in the cytoplasmic endosomal vesicles (× 12,000).
1. In Vitro Experiments

**HUVECs with SPIO-PLL and CellTracker**

Prussian blue stain for iron was performed on unlabeled and ferumoxides-PLL labeled HUVECs in culture (Fig. 1a). On average, there were $98.4 \pm 2.4\%$ Prussian blue stain-positive cells after labeling with ferumoxides-PLL and $2.4 \pm 0.2\%$ Prussian blue stain-positive cells without labeling with ferumoxides-PLL. With fluorescent microscopy, essentially all HUVECs labeled with CellTracker appeared green fluorescent, while unlabeled HUVECs were rarely green fluorescent (Fig. 1b). Electron microscopic examination showed the presence of SPIO nanoparticles in the endosomal vesicles of the HUVECs (Fig. 1c).

**Labeling Stability in HUVECs after Culture**

The labeling stability of HUVECs was maintained 5 days after ferumoxides-PLL labeling.

The relaxation rate ($R_2^*$) of SPIO-unlabeled HUVECs was $14.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and those of SPIO-labeled HUVECs at days 1, 3, and 5 were $25 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, $21.3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and $24.4 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, respectively. The relaxation rate ($R_2^*$) of HUVECs at day 1 increased by over 50% in comparison with that of unlabeled HUVECs (the number of cells, $1 \times 10^6$). However, relaxation rates at days 3 and 5 were not markedly decreased compared with that at day 1 (Fig. 2). Stability of SPIO in HUVECs was maintained during proliferation of HUVECs in culture media.

2. In Vivo Experiments

**MR imaging after intravenous injection of SPIO-labeled HUVECs in rats with unilateral renal artery ischemia**

After intravenous injection of labeled HUVECs, the presence of HUVECs in the kidney was detected by a regional signal intensity loss induced by the susceptibility effects of iron oxide particles. $T_2^*$-weighted MR images showed a signal intensity loss exclusively in the left renal outer medulla regions, but the right kidney did not show a significant change in signal intensity at day 1 (Fig. 3a). MR imaging at 3, 5, and 7 days after intravenous injection of HUVECs in rats with left unilateral renal artery ischemia initially showed a signal intensity loss in the left renal outer medulla regions, but then demonstrated a progressive recovery of signal intensity. The right kidney showed no significant change in signal intensity (Fig. 3b-d). Upon examination of serial MR images, the change in the ratio of signal intensity in the renal outer medulla HUVECs was in accordance with the recovery of the signal intensity loss observed on the serial $T_2^*$-weighted MR imaging (Fig. 4). The $T_2^*$-weighted MR images at day 1 showed no signal intensity loss in the medulla of both rat kidneys ($n = 2$) injected with unlabeled HUVECs and in the kidneys of sham-operated rats ($n = 2$) injected with labeled HUVECs.

**Microscopic Findings**

One day after the operation and injection of labeled HUVECs in the rat, we removed the ischemically injured (left) kidneys. Sham-operated (right) kidneys were used as the control. Light microscopy showed that tubular dilatation, loss of brush border, and...
tubular cell loss were concentrated in the ischemically injured outer medulla of the left kidney, but the right control kidney was normal (Fig. 5a, b). Prussian blue stain-positive cells were rarely found in the cortex and medulla in the sham-operated kidney (Fig. 5c). However, Prussian blue stain-positive cells were found in the outer medulla of the injured kidney, where a signal intensity decrease was observed with MR imaging (Fig. 5d). We also performed a fluorescent microscopic examination to detect HUVECs labeled with CellTracker. In comparison to the right kidney (Fig. 5e), the left kidney had a significantly increased number of CellTracker-positive cells in the outer medulla (Fig. 5f).

Fig. 3. Coronal T2*-weighted MR images of rat kidney.

a-d. Serial images obtained after 1, 3, 5, and 7 days, respectively. a. Finding at the T2*-weighted postinjection MR image showed a signal intensity loss exclusively in the left renal outer medulla regions (arrow), but the right kidney did not show a significant change in signal intensity at 1 day in a kidney with unilateral renal artery ischemia. b-d. MR images at 3, 5, and 7 days after intravenous injection of HUVECs into rats with unilateral renal artery ischemia showed a signal intensity loss in the left renal outer medulla regions that progressively faded (arrow), and the right kidney showed no significant change of signal intensity.

Fig. 4. Graph of serial T2*-ROI measurements of the renal cortex and medulla after HUVECs injection. After injection of the labeled cells, an increase in the T2* ROI was observed. Serial T2*-ROI was gradually decreased at 3, 5, and 7 days after SPIO-labeled HUVECs injection.
Fig. 5. Light and fluorescent microscopic findings 1 day after unilateral renal artery ischemia.

a, b. Light microscopic examination showed that the control right kidney was normal (a) but, tubular dilatation, loss of brush border and tubular cell loss were concentrated in the outer medulla of the left kidney (b).

c, d. Light micrograph of Prussian blue stain shows that blue colored cells were found at the outer medulla of the left kidney 1 day after unilateral renal artery ischemia (d) but not in the right kidney (c).

e, f. Fluorescent microscopy showed that CellTracker-positive HUVECs were found in the outer medulla of left kidney (f) but not in the right kidney (e). (H & E stain, Bar = 50 μm.)
Fig. 6. MR images and light and fluorescent microscopic findings of an ischemically injured kidney after injection of SPIO-labeled HUVECs at days 1 and 5. MR imaging examination on day 1 showed a signal intensity loss in the left renal outer medulla regions (a). Prussian blue stain-positive cells were found at the outer medulla of the left kidney (c). By fluorescent microscopic examination, the CellTracker-positive HUVECs were found in the outer medulla of the left kidney (e). There was a strong correlation between signal intensity loss area and the location of Prussian blue stain-positive cells and CellTracker-positive HUVECs. MR imaging 5 days after injection of HUVECs showed a decreased signal intensity loss in the left renal outer medulla region (b). Prussian blue stain-positive cells and CellTracker-positive HUVECs were found in the same area, but slightly decreased in numbers compared to day 1 (d and f).
Correlation between MR imaging and Histologic Examination of SPIO-labeled HUVECs

We investigated the correlation between the histologic examination and MR imaging of the location of SPIO-labeled HUVECs. At 1 and 5 days after injection of SPIO-labeled HUVECs, we performed MR imaging and histologic examination of the left kidney. MR imaging at 1 day showed a signal intensity loss in the left renal outer medulla regions (Fig. 6a). Prussian blue stain-positive cells were found in the outer medulla of the left kidney (Fig. 6c). By fluorescent microscopy, CellTracker-positive HUVECs were found in the outer medulla of the left kidney (Fig. 6e). There was a strong correlation between the area of signal intensity loss and the location of Prussian blue stain-positive cells and CellTracker-positive HUVECs. MR imaging at 5 days after the injection of HUVECs showed a decreased signal intensity loss in the left renal outer medulla region (Fig. 6b). Prussian blue stain-positive cells and CellTracker-positive HUVECs were found in the same area at day 5 but were slightly decreased in number compared to those of day 1 (Fig. 6d, f).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results demonstrated that HUVECs can be successfully labeled in vitro using a commercially available SPIO and the transfection agent, PLL. Serial T2* MR imaging examination with a 1.5-T MR imager showed that the SPIO-labeled HUVECs could be detected 1 day after intravenous injection into an acute ischemically injured kidney. We also found that signal intensity loss due to the presence of magnetically labeled HUVECs could be followed up to 7 days with MR. The detection of HUVECs in injured kidneys on T2* MR images was confirmed by histologic and fluorescent microscopic examinations. Furthermore, in the right control kidney without acute renal ischemic injury, the signal loss due to labeled HUVECs was not observed on MR images and no cells were found in the kidneys by histologic examination.

MR imaging with SPIO particles, which have been used for the magnetic labeling of cells, has been shown to be a useful noninvasive method for detection of implanted or grafted cells (11). An SPIO particle usually consists of a polycrystalline magnetic core embedded within a polymer coating, such as dextran, carboxydextran, or polyethylene glycol of varying diameters (10–3500 nm) (19–21). SPIO agents with less than a 50 nm diameter have been used for molecular imaging applications in vivo (21). The present study demonstrates that ferumoxides-PLL complexes allow for the incorporation of SPIO into the endosomal vesicle of HUVECs. The results of in vitro labeling of HUVECs agree with those of Zhang et al, which showed the usefulness of iron oxide preparation for cell tracking (6). Zhang et al also reported that the toxicity of intracellular SPIO increased with higher doses of SPIO (≥ 200 μg/ml) in HUVECs because of the decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential. Cytotoxicity can be caused by the generation of oxygen free radicals, which are able to react with membrane lipids, initiating lipid peroxidation (6). In our study, we used a ferumoxide concentration of 50 μg/mL because higher doses of iron concentration may influence cell viability (18). We also demonstrated that the SPIO-labeled HUVECs did not show a significant relaxation rate change for 5 days, suggesting that the labeling stability of HUVECs can be maintained during serial MR examinations.

It is important to determine the optimal route for cell administration, which may be by intra-arterial or intravenous injection or by direct implantation. In our study, we used the intravenous route of cell administration, because it is easy and safe. Hauger et al. (11) reported that the intravenous route does not require arterial catheterization or contrast medium injection, which is advantageous for renal failure patients. However, the limitation of the intravenous route is that only a small proportion of cells can reach the target organ because injected cells may be trapped in the liver, lung or spleen. In contrast to the intravenous route, the intra-arterial route has the advantage of increasing the number of cells localizing to the target organ, which can prevent injected cells from hepatic sinusoidal trapping. But, more invasive intervention is usually required (11, 18). The number of SPIO-labeled cells in the target organ may determine the signal intensity loss of MR images. Therefore, intra-arterial injection of labeled cells can be advantageous for MR signal intensity changes because the number of cells delivered by intra-arterial injection is usually larger.
after ARF, we used endothelial cells to the injured kidney. Significantly stimulates the recruitment of transplanted results suggest that unilateral ischemic injury significantly stimulates the recruitment of transplanted endothelial cells of hematopoietic origin are recruited to the ischemic areas to form adult blood vessels (24) and that circulating endothelial progenitor cells (24) and that circulating endothelial cells of hematopoietic origin are recruited to the ischemic areas to form adult blood vessels (25). Interestingly, our results show that labeled HUVECs were found only in the ischemically injured left kidney, but not in the sham-operated right kidney. Our results suggest that unilateral ischemic injury significantly stimulates the recruitment of transplanted endothelial cells to the injured kidney.

Although we did not analyze the functional changes after ARF, we used in vivo cell tracking with SPIO particles and a commercially available 1.5-T MR imaging system to show that HUVECs may be engrafted in the outer medulla of an injured kidney for systemic treatment of renal failure. To our knowledge, this is the first report of systemic intravenous cell grafting and in vivo MR imaging of SPIO-labeled HUVECs within an ischemically injured kidney.

There were several limitations in this study. We demonstrated only the localization of SPIO-labeled HUVECs in an acute ischemically injured kidney with MR imaging. Uncovering the mechanism by which HUVECs localize to the ischemically injured kidney was beyond the scope of this study. Also, we followed the presence of SPIO-labeled HUVECs for up to 7 days, but the recovery period for acute ischemic renal failure may exceed 7 days. Further, we did not analyze the relationship between HUVEC recruitment in the injured kidney and renal function improvement.

MR imaging appears to be useful for in vivo monitoring of intravenously injected SPIO-labeled HUVECs in an ischemically injured rat kidney, which has been used as a model of cell therapy.
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급성 신부전 쥐 모델에서 자기 표지된 인간 제대정맥 내피세포의 연속 자기공명영상

목적: 급성 신부전 쥐 모델에서 상자성 철 산화물 (superparamagnetic iron oxide(SPIO)로 표지한 인간 대통맥
관내피 세포를 자기공명영상으로 추적할 수 있는지 그 유용성을 평가하고자 하였다.

대상과 방법: 인간 대통맥관내피 세포를 SPIO와 poly-L-lysine (PLL) 혼합물로 표지 하였다. SPIO 농도에 따라서
이완율, 세포 생존율, 표지 안정성을 SPIO 농도의 변화에 따라 평가하였다. 인간 대통맥관내피 세포를 급성 신부전 쥐 모델에서 퍼리정맥을 통하여 주사하였다. MR을 이용한 추적 검사는 T2* 경사에코 MR 영상을 이용하였다. 1, 3, 5,
7일째 추적한 MR 영상 소견을 조직 소견과 서로 견주어 보았다.

결과: SPIO-PLL 혼합물을 표지 한 후 Prussian blue 염색에서 평균 98.4±2.4% 세포가 양성반응을 보였다. 3일
과 5일 후 측정한 이완율은 1일에 비해 큰 차이가 없었다. 인간 대통맥관내피 세포를 SPIO로 표지 한 후 안정성이 유
지됨을 알 수 있었다. 추적 MR 영상에서 급성신부전을 유도한 왼쪽 신장 외곽 신 수질에서 신호강도 소실을 보였으나
오른쪽은 정상이었다. 3, 5, 7일 후 측정한 영상에서 왼쪽 신 수질에서 보인 신호강도 소실이 점차 사라졌으나 오른
쪽 신장에서는 여전히 특명한 변화를 보이지 않았다. 조직학 검사에서도 MR 영상의 신호강도 소실이 Prussian
blue 염색을 보인 부분과 일치하였다. 면역화학적 분석에서 신 수질에서 보인 세포들이 인간 대통맥관내피 세포임을
확인하였다.

결론: MR 영상은 급성 신부전 치료의 한 방법인 세포 치료의 경우 세포 추적 검사에 유용하게 사용될 수 있음을 확인
하였다.
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