Does Endoscopic Sphincterotomy and/or Cholecystectomy Reduce Recurrence Rate of Acute Biliary Pancreatitis?
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Background/Aims: Practice guidelines from international societies have recommended cholecystectomy during the same hospitalization for acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP). The aim of this study is to investigate the question of whether endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) and/or cholecystectomy during the same hospitalization can reduce the recurrence rate of ABP.

Methods: A total of 119 patients with ABP admitted to our institution between May 2005 and May 2010 who had complete follow-up data until May 2012 were enrolled.

Results: No significant differences in initial CT severity index and Charlson comorbidity index were observed between EST (n=64) and non-EST group (n=55) and among subgroups classified according to interventions performed. In Kaplan-Meier analyses, significantly higher recurrence rates of ABP were observed in the non-EST group compared to the EST group (p<0.01), and in the conservative treatment group compared to other intervention groups (p<0.01). The frequency of complications from ABP was significantly higher in the conservative treatment group (35.7%) and lowest in the EST plus cholecystectomy group (5.0%, p=0.008). In multivariate analysis, conservative treatment without EST and/or cholecystectomy, and non-EST group were independent risk factors for recurrence after the initial attack of ABP.

Conclusions: ERCP with EST and cholecystectomy during the index admission is associated with reduced recurrence rates of ABP. (Korean J Gastroenterol 2015;65:297-305)
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INTRODUCTION

Many observational studies and practice guidelines of international academic societies have recommended cholecystectomy during the same hospitalization for acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP), particularly for milder cases.1-4 Other known biliary interventions such as ERCP with endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) can also independently decrease the rates of recurrence, but may result in higher rates of biliary complications compared to cholecystectomy.1,5 Early ERCP has been performed based on the hypothesis that early relief of pancreatobiliary obstruction can prevent further deterioration of pancreatitis.6 Early ERCP is generally accepted in cases of ABP associated with cholangitis and prolonged pas-
sage abnormalities of the biliary tract. However, no statistically significant differences in overall mortality, local and systemic complications as defined by the Atlanta Classification between the early routine ERCP versus early conservative management strategy have been reported. Another meta-analysis also reported insignificant differences in morbidity and mortality between the early routine ERCP versus early conservative management strategy.

No clinical trials assessing the efficacy of biliary interventions, such as early ERCP with EST strategy, for reducing the recurrence of ABP after the first attack have been reported. Results of an observational study showed that EST could reduce recurrence of ABP and other gallstone-induced complications in a selected group of patients. In a recent retrospective cohort study conducted in Canada, index cholecystectomy and ERCP performed for ABP were accompanied by reduced readmission rate in patients with ABP. However, in this study, the diagnosis of ABP was based on the primary diagnosis listed on the hospital discharge and their database did not include clinical, laboratory, or imaging data to confirm whether or not diagnoses of ABP fulfilled standard criteria. The possibility of potential misdiagnosis of pancreatitis from causes other than ABP may weaken the measured effects of cholecystectomy and ERCP. In an observational study by Lee et al., 60% of ABP patients who did not undergo biliary interventions such as ERCP with or without EST and cholecystectomy experienced relapses of ABP. In addition, the authors reported development of acute cholecystitis in 9.1% of patients with ABP who did not undergo cholecystectomy and those who had both gallbladder and common bile duct (CBD) stones were particularly prone to this complication.

The aim of the current study was to investigate the question of whether ERCP and EST with or without cholecystectomy during the same hospitalization in patients with ABP can reduce the recurrence rate of ABP.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This single-center, retrospective cohort study was conducted at Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, Korea, a tertiary care, university-affiliated hospital. All procedures, including obtaining written informed consent from the patient or a responsible relative, were performed in accordance with the recommendations of the Ethics Committee of Kangbuk Samsung Hospital (KBC12126).

1. Patients

Among 239 patients with clinical diagnosis of ABP who were admitted to our institution between May 2005 and May 2010, 119 patients who showed clinical symptoms and signs of cholangitis and/or CBD obstruction and had complete follow-up data until May 2012 were enrolled in the current study (Fig. 1). The diagnosis of ABP was based on the presence of the following criteria: 1) abdominal pain typical of acute pancreatitis; 2) greater than or equal to three-fold elevation in se-
rum amylase and/or lipase; 3) evidence of acute pancreatitis on CT; and 4) presence of gallstones on imaging studies, in the absence of other etiologies such as alcohol.\textsuperscript{11} Cholangitis was defined as increased temperature (>38°C), leukocytosis (>15,000/mm$^3$), and right upper quadrant or epigastric pain that were considered to have a biliary cause, with or without concomitant evidence of acute cholecystitis. CBD obstruction was suspected if the CBD dilation was more than 9 mm and/or high attenuation or echogenic CBD stones/sludge were observed in imaging studies, such as multiple detector computed tomography (MDCT), MRCP, or EUS. Patients who fulfilled any of the following criteria were excluded: 1) age <19 years; 2) positive urine pregnancy test; 3) prior history of hospital admission due to acute pancreatitis; 4) previous cholecystectomy due to any etiology; and 5) previous EST due to any etiology. The medical records, laboratory data, radiologic images, and endoscopic results were reviewed retrospectively. Initial treatment included supportive measures, including intravenous fluids, analgesia, oxygen administration, and nasogastric tube drainage if necessary. The co-morbid conditions of the patients were scored using the Charlson co-morbidity index\textsuperscript{12} assessed at the time of admission. All of the enrolled patients underwent contrast-enhanced multi-detector CT scan at the time of admission and the severity of ABP was determined by CT severity index proposed by Balthazar et al.\textsuperscript{13} Subsequent EUS (GF-UM2000, UM-240; Olympus Optical, Seoul, Korea) was performed for detection of CBD stones and/or sludge in our enrolled patients. The decision regarding whether or not to perform ERCP and EST in this circumstance was made based on the presence or absence of CBD stones and/or sludge by CT and/or EUS. According to whether the patient underwent ERCP with EST, the patients were divided into EST (n=64, 53.8%) and non-EST group (n=55, 46.2%). Non-EST group included the patients for whom ERCP and EST were not intended. No cases of technical failure for ERCP and EST were included in this group. The enrolled patients were further subclassified according to the interventions performed as follows: EST plus cholecystectomy group (n=40, 33.6%), EST only group (n=24, 20.2%), cholecystectomy only group (most received percutaneous biliary drainage treatment; n=41, 34.5%), and conservative treatment group (those who underwent neither ERCP nor cholecystectomy; n=14, 11.8%).

2. Interventions

ERCP with EST was performed within 72 hours after the index admission by a single experienced endoscopist (K.H.J.) in the standard manner. EST was performed if CBD sludge materials or CBD stone(s) were found on EUS and/or endoscopic retrograde cholangiography. In case of incomplete stone removal, a plastic biliary stent (10 cm, 7 or 10 Fr., double pigtail stent; Cook Medical Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA) was inserted and ERCP was repeated 24 hours later. Laparoscopic or open cholecystectomies were also performed by a single experienced surgeon in the standard manner. During laparoscopic or open cholecystectomies, a surgeon routinely performed intraoperative choledochoscopy via cystic duct stump site for detection of undiscovered choledocholithiasis.

3. Definitions

Time to recovery from ABP (days) was defined according to the following criteria: the number of days elapsed from admission to 1) starting time point of diet (more than soft diet); 2) no abdominal discomfort/pain and fever; and 3) improvement of ileus on plain abdominal X-ray. Complications due to ABP included the formation of pseudocysts, pancreatic abscess, splenic artery pseudoaneurysms, bleeding from erosions into splenic artery and vein, thrombosis of the splenic vein, superior mesenteric vein and portal veins, duodenal obstruction, CBD obstruction, and progression to chronic pancreatitis.

4. Outcome measures

The primary end points of the study were to compare the recurrence rates of ABP between EST and non-EST groups and among the EST plus cholecystectomy group, EST only group, cholecystectomy only group, and conservative treatment group. The secondary end points of the study were to compare the length of hospital stay, time to recovery from ABP, the frequency of occurrence of complications due to ABP, and overall survival between EST and non-EST groups and among the EST plus cholecystectomy group, EST only
group, cholecystectomy only group, and conservative treatment group.

5. Statistical analyses

Continuous variables were expressed as mean and SD and compared using independent samples t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test in the setting of nonparametric test. Multiple comparisons for continuous variables were performed using Kruskal-Wallis oneway ANOVA. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square or Fisher exact test. Kaplan-Meier analysis with log rank comparison was performed for comparison of cumulative incidence rates of ABP recurrence, newly developed cholangitis, and overall survival. Cox proportional hazard model was performed for identification of independent risk factors for recurrence of ABP. Statistical analysis was performed using the PASW Statistics software version 18.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

Of a total of 119 enrolled patients, 90 patients (75.6%) showed CBD dilation more than 9 mm and/or high attenuation or echogenic CBD stones/sludge in imaging studies, such as MDCT, MRCP, or EUS. Eighty patients (67.2%) had CBD stones/sludge on EUS, but not on MDCT, and 54 patients (45.4%) had CBD stones/sludge on ERCP, but not on MDCT. Sixty five patients (54.6%) showed clinical symptoms and signs compatible with cholangitis.

The demographics and clinical characteristics of all enrolled patients, and the comparisons between the EST and non-EST groups, and among the groups of patients classified according to the interventions they underwent are shown in Table 1. Notably, there were no significant differences in age, gender, follow-up period, initial CT severity index, and Charlson comorbidity index between the EST and non-EST groups and among the patients groups classified according to the interventions they underwent. Among the 64 patients in the EST group, one patient (1.6%) showed post-EST bleeding requiring second-look endoscopy with hemoclipping and epinephrine injections.

Fifteen patients (12.6%) experienced recurrences of ABP during the follow-up period. In comparison using Kaplan-Meier analyses with log rank, significantly higher recurrence rates of ABP were observed in the non-EST group compared to the EST group (p < 0.01; Fig. 2) and in the conservative treatment group compared to other intervention groups (p < 0.01; Fig. 2). In addition, recurrence rates of ABP were significantly higher in patients group with higher CT severity index (> 3, p < 0.01; Fig. 2) and in patients group with complications of ABP by log rank comparisons (p < 0.01; Fig. 2). However, baseline age, gender, presence or absence of CBD obstruction, and cholangitis had no impact on the cumulative recurrence rate of ABP (Fig. 2). Time to recovery from ABP (days) defined by clinical criteria was significantly longer in the non-EST group compared to the EST group (5.0±3.0 vs. 2.4±1.3, p < 0.01; Fig. 3A). Among the patients classified according to the interventions performed, the conservative treatment group and cholecystectomy only group were associated with significantly longer recovery time from ABP (days) compared with the EST plus cholecystectomy group and the EST only group by post hoc tests (5.4±3.9 and 4.8±2.7 vs. 2.2±1.0 and 2.8±1.6, respectively, p < 0.01; Fig. 3B). The length of hospital stay (days), probably a relevant clinical parameter of recovery time from ABP, was significantly longer

Table 1. Demographics and Clinical Characteristics of the Study Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total patients (n=119)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62.0±16.5</td>
<td>64.5±15.0</td>
<td>59.1±17.8</td>
<td>62.6±15.4</td>
<td>67.8±14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender, male (%)</td>
<td>64 (53.8)</td>
<td>39 (60.9)</td>
<td>25 (45.5)</td>
<td>20 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up (mo)</td>
<td>41.5±20.6</td>
<td>41.8±17.8</td>
<td>41.1±23.7</td>
<td>38.2±15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT severity index</td>
<td>3.1±1.2</td>
<td>2.9±1.0</td>
<td>3.3±1.4</td>
<td>2.9±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charlson comorbidity index</td>
<td>1.4±1.5</td>
<td>1.4±1.7</td>
<td>1.3±1.2</td>
<td>1.3±1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±SD or n (%).

EST, endoscopic sphincterotomy.
Fig. 2. Fifteen patients (12.6%) experienced recurrences of acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP) during the follow-up period. By Kaplan-Meier analyses with log rank comparison, recurrence rates of ABP were significantly higher in the non-endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) group compared to the EST group \(p<0.01\), and in the conservative treatment group compared to other intervention groups by log rank comparisons \(p<0.01\).

CBD, common bile duct.

in the non-EST group compared to the EST group \((15.0\pm22.8 \text{ vs. } 4.4\pm2.2, \ p<0.01; \text{ Fig. 3C})\). In post hoc tests, the conservative treatment group and cholecystectomy only group were associated with significantly longer hospital stay (days) compared with the EST plus cholecystectomy group and the EST only group \((15.1\pm14.6 \text{ and } 15.0\pm25.1 \text{ vs. } 3.8\pm1.4 \text{ and } 5.4\pm2.8, \text{ respectively, } p<0.01; \text{ Fig. 3D})\).

Four patients (6.3%) in the EST group and 10 patients (18.2%) in the non-EST group experienced complications of ABP defined in the current study. The comprehensive list of complications that occurred in our enrolled patients was as follows: pseudocyst(s) formation \((n=9, 64.3\%)\), pancreatic abscess formation \((n=2, 14.3\%)\), and aggravation of cholangiohepatitis due to CBD obstruction \((n=3, 21.4\%)\). All of these complications were managed successfully by endoscopic or conservative treatment. A significantly higher occurrence rate of ABP complications was observed in the non-EST group compared to the EST group \((p=0.02; \text{ Fig. 4A})\). Significantly higher complications rates were observed in the conservative treatment group (35.7%) and were lowest in the EST plus cholecystectomy group (5.0%, \(p=0.008; \text{ Fig. 4B})\). Using the clinical parameters significant in univariate analyses (cut-off \(p\)-value in the univariate analysis used for selection of variables for multivariate analysis was 0.10) and
Fig. 3. (A) Time to recovery from ABP (days) was significantly longer in the non-endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) group compared to the EST group (5.0±3.0 vs. 2.4±1.3; p < 0.001). (B) Among the groups of patients classified according to the interventions performed, the conservative treatment group and cholecystectomy only group were associated with significantly longer recovery time from ABP (days) compared with the EST plus cholecystectomy group and EST only group by post hoc tests (5.4±3.9 and 4.8±2.7 vs. 2.2±1.0 and 2.8±1.6, respectively; p < 0.01). (C) The length of hospital stay (days) was significantly longer in the non-EST group compared to the EST group (15.0±22.8 vs. 4.4±2.2, respectively; p < 0.01). (D) By post hoc tests, the conservative treatment group and cholecystectomy only group were associated with significantly longer hospital stay (days) compared with the EST plus cholecystectomy group and EST only group (15.1±14.6 and 15.0±25.1 vs. 3.8±1.4 and 5.4±2.8, respectively; p < 0.01).

adopter age and gender as covariates for multivariate analysis using the Cox forward stepwise linear regression, conservative treatment without EST and/or cholecystectomy, and non-EST group were found be independent risk factors for recurrence after the initial attack of ABP (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

The current retrospective cohort study was conducted in order to validate the important roles of ERCP and cholecystectomy in the early management of ABP. Our study is a large, Asian, hospitalized patient-based, retrospective cohort study designed to demonstrate the effectiveness of early biliary interventions to reduce the recurrence rate of ABP and the results support the international guidelines advocating such interventions. In the current study, 15 patients (12.6%) experienced recurrences of ABP during follow-up. Significantly lower cumulative recurrence rates of ABP were observed in the EST group compared to the non-EST group (p < 0.01; Fig. 2), and early biliary intervention group (EST and/or cholecystectomy) compared to the conservative treatment group (p < 0.01; Fig. 2).

Despite wide acceptance in clinical practice guidelines, there is a deficiency of clinical trial data to support the impact of early EST and/or cholecystectomy after hospitalization for ABP on long-term outcomes. In one retrospective cohort
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Fig. 4. Complication rates. (A) Three patients (4.7%) in the endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) group and 11 patients (20.0%) in the non-EST group experienced complications of acute biliary pancreatitis (ABP) defined in the current study. Significantly higher occurrence rates of complications of ABP were observed in the non-EST group compared to the EST group (p=0.02). (B) Significantly higher complications rates were observed in the conservative treatment group (35.7%) and the lowest in the EST plus cholecystectomy group (5.0%, p=0.008).

Table 2. Cox Regression Analyses for the Independent Risk Factors of Recurrence of ABP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥ 65 yr</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>0.29-3.74</td>
<td>0.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.44-5.71</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT severity index &gt;3</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.28-4.53</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications of ABP (+)</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.47-6.58</td>
<td>0.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-EST group</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>1.87-71.43</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative treatment without EST and/or cholecystectomy</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>2.78-50.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABP, acute biliary pancreatitis; EST, endoscopic sphincterotomy.

study of 8,419 patients admitted for ABP, the 12-month rate of readmission for biliary complications was 76% among those undergoing neither cholecystectomy nor ERCP with EST, compared with 5% among those who underwent cholecystectomy during the index hospitalization. In the current study, five patients (35.7%) in the conservative treatment group (who underwent neither EST nor cholecystectomy during the index admission) had recurrences of ABP during the 12 months after the index admission. The reason for the significantly higher 12-month readmission rates for patients who underwent neither cholecystectomy nor ERCP reported by Sandzén et al. may be due in part to the fact that we reported only readmissions for ABP and not all biliary complications. Notably, authors of the previous studies also reported a prolonged hospital stay for patients who underwent cholecystectomy during index admission. In the current study, the conservative treatment group and cholecystectomy only group were associated with significantly longer hospital stay (days) compared with the EST plus cholecystectomy group and the EST only group (Fig. 3D). The study by Sandzén et al. did not account for comorbidity of enrolled patients, and the diagnosis of ABP was based on the primary diagnosis listed on the hospital discharge. In addition, their database did not include clinical, laboratory or imaging data necessary to confirm whether the diagnoses of ABP fulfilled standard criteria.

Our study highlights the long-term effectiveness of ERCP with EST in the early management of ABP. ERCP with EST is preferable over cholecystectomy in the initial management of ABP in patients with severe acute pancreatitis or those deemed unfit for surgery. This recommendation is based on randomized trials demonstrating the benefit of early ERCP in reducing biliary complications of ABP, especially in subgroups with severe ABP. In the current study, the EST only group showed cumulative recurrence rates of ABP similar to those observed in the cholecystectomy only group (Fig. 2). In addition, significantly lower cumulative recurrence rates of ABP were observed in the EST group compared to the non-EST group (Fig. 2). These findings raise the possibility of a role for therapeutic ERCP as a standard-alone intervention for treatment of ABP, regardless of severity.

Several studies have reported on the additive or incremental benefits of EST plus cholecystectomy in patients...
with biliary diseases. Boerma et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported significantly lower recurrence rates of biliary symptoms at 2 years among patients who underwent elective cholecystectomy in addition to EST compared to EST alone (wait-and-see policy, 2\% vs. 47\%, \(p < 0.0001\)). However, there were no cases of pancreatitis during follow-up in either group. A meta-analysis by McAlister et al.\textsuperscript{17} similarly reported lower rates of mortality and biliary complications among patients who underwent cholecystectomy plus EST compared to those who underwent EST alone, but the rates of pancreatitis were not significantly different. However, in a recent retrospective cohort study conducted in Canada,\textsuperscript{3} combined cholecystectomy and EST during index admission were associated with substantially lower 12-month readmission rates for ABP compared with EST alone or cholecystectomy alone (2.3\% vs. 6.2\% and 6.4\%, respectively). In the current study, the patients group who underwent EST and subsequent cholecystectomy during index admission showed a tendency of lower 12-month cumulative recurrence rates of ABP compared to the EST only and cholecystectomy only groups, but without statistical significance (0\% vs. 4.0\% and 5.0\%, respectively, \(p=0.234\)). Several factors may be related to the above-mentioned differences in each study. Suboptimal preoperative or intraoperative evaluation for CBD among patients who underwent cholecystectomy without ERCP may explain higher cumulative recurrence rates of ABP in this group compared to those who received combined interventions. In the current study, preoperative EUS and intraoperative cholangioscopy were performed routinely via cystic duct stump site for detection of undiscovered choledocholithiasis. These pre- and intraoperative measures may be related to the similar cumulative recurrence rates of ABP between the patients group who underwent EST and subsequent cholecystectomy and the cholecystectomy only group. An additional factor to consider is that gallstones may migrate from gallbladder to the CBD in the interval between preoperative evaluation for CBD stones and surgery.

Time to recovery from ABP (days) was defined as the relevant clinical parameter. Although this parameter may be related to the length of hospital stay (days), the pace or speed of clinical improvement defined by the time to recovery from ABP may reflect the therapeutic effectiveness of each biliary intervention. Time to recovery from ABP (days), which reflected the therapeutic effectiveness of each biliary intervention, was significantly shorter in the EST group compared to the non-EST group (Fig. 3A). Among the groups of patients classified according to the interventions performed, the conservative treatment group and cholecystectomy only group were associated with significantly longer recovery time from ABP (days) compared with the EST plus cholecystectomy group and EST only group by post hoc tests (Fig. 3B), respectively.

The current study has certain limitations that must be acknowledged. First, our study design was retrospective in nature, and it was conducted at a single tertiary care institution, thus the results are prone to treatment-selection bias, in which individuals with more severe ABP and a worse prognosis are less likely to undergo cholecystectomy. Although we made an effort to avoid the possibility of treatment-selection bias by controlling the baseline epidemiologic and clinical characteristics, including age, gender, comorbidity, and CT severity index scores between EST and non-EST groups and among the patients group classified according to the interventions they underwent, our study was a retrospective observational study, and the possibility of treatment-selection bias must be considered. Second, the number of enrolled patients in the conservative treatment group was relatively small, and the results obtained in this retrospective cohort study might be interpreted as marginal. However, in the current study, we enrolled ABP patients who had complete clinical, laboratory, imaging, and endoscopic (including EUS) data in order to confirm whether diagnoses of ABP fulfilled standard criteria. In addition, ABP patients enrolled in the current study have complete follow-up data to verify the cumulative recurrence rates of ABP and overall survival. Hence, although the current study was not a prospective trial, given the strength of well controlled baseline epidemiologic and clinical data, and complete and relatively long-term follow-up data, our study could provide reliable data to support the evidences of international guidelines for the importance of early biliary interventions to reduce the recurrence rates of ABP.

In conclusion, early biliary interventions such as ERCP and EST and subsequent cholecystectomy in patients with ABP were associated with reduced recurrence rates of ABP.
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