Metabolic effects of androgen deprivation therapy
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The therapeutic effects and side effects of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), which is a main treatment method for metastatic prostate cancer, are well known, but the metabolic effects have only recently been studied. This review describes the effects of ADT on body habitus, insulin resistance, lipid profiles, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The review was done by using KoreaMed and PubMed to search the medical literature related to prostate cancer, ADT, body habitus, lipid profile, diabetes, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease. ADT increases fat mass and decreases lean body mass. Fat mostly accumulates in the subcutaneous area. ADT increases total cholesterol, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein, as well as the risk for insulin resistance and diabetes. ADT also increases the risk for cardiovascular events, but insufficient evidence is available for a correlation with mortality. ADT changes body habitus and lipid profiles and has different characteristics than those of classic metabolic syndrome, but it is related to insulin resistance and diabetes. ADT increases the risk for cardiovascular events. No consistent guidelines have been proposed for treating the metabolic effects of ADT, but the generally recommended treatment methods for lowering the risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease should be fully understood. Additional studies are necessary.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in men and has the sixth highest related mortality [1-4]. Prostate cancer-related mortality is decreasing worldwide as a result of progress in prostate cancer treatment methods. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is one of the main methods of treating prostate cancer. ADT uses either bilateral orchietomy or gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists [5]. GnRH agonists inhibit production of endogenous testosterone but have side effects, such as loss of libido, hot flashes, osteoporosis, fatigue, loss of lean body mass, anemia, and gynecomastia [6,7]. ADT causes metabolic effects and thus is related to changes in body habitus and lipid profiles and an increased risk for insulin resistance, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome [8-10]. Some studies have reported that ADT is also related to cardiovascular morbidity and mortality [11-14]. There is a schematic diagram of adverse and metabolic effects of ADT (Fig. 1). This review describes the metabolic effects of ADT.

BODY HABITUS

Androgens increase lean body mass and decrease fat mass [15], whereas ADT increases fat mass and decreases lean body mass [16,17]. A prospective study was conducted on changes in body composition caused by 1 year of GnRH
Metabolic effects of androgen deprivation therapy agonist use in 40 men with locally advanced nonmetastatic prostate cancer. The weight increase after 1 year was 2.4%±0.8% (p=0.005), percentage body fat mass increased 9.4%±1.7% (p<0.001), and the percentage of lean body mass decreased 2.7%±0.5% (p<0.001) [17]. Similarly, a study of 79 patients with nonmetastatic prostate cancer showed a weight increase of 1.8%±0.5% (p<0.001) during 1 year of ADT. Their percentage fat mass increased by 11.0%±1.7%, and their percentage lean mass decreased by 3.8%±0.6% [18].

Fat accumulation is mostly subcutaneous, and intra-abdominal fat does not change significantly during GnRH agonist treatment. According to a prospective study by Smith et al. [17], cross-sectional subcutaneous fat area increased significantly by 11.1%±3.4% (p=0.003) during 1 year of ADT, but intra-abdominal fat area did not change (p=0.94).

ADT-induced body composition changes occur during the early stage of treatment. Fat mass and circulating insulin increased in 22 patients after 3 months of ADT given prospectively [19]. Another study reported that body fat mass increased by 4.3%±1.3% (p=0.002) when androgen blockade was combined with a GnRH agonist and bicalutamide over 12 weeks in 25 patients [20]. Lee et al. [21] reported on 65 patients who received ADT for 12 months. They found that the increase in fat mass and lean body mass mostly occurred during the early stage of GnRH agonist therapy and that the body composition changes decreased if the treatment period was extended.

**LIPID PROFILES**

GnRH agonists cause changes in serum lipids. Many studies have reported that increased lipid levels occur mainly in total cholesterol, triglycerides, and high density-lipoprotein (HDL) [17,22,23]. Eri et al. [23] administered a GnRH agonist to 26 patients for 24 weeks and found significant increases in total cholesterol (10.6%), HDL (8.2%), and triglycerides (26.9%) (p=0.003, p=0.052, and p=0.050, respectively), but low-density lipoprotein (LDL) did not change. Another study reported increases in total cholesterol (9.0%), HDL (11.3%), triglycerides (26.5%), and LDL (7.3%) levels when a GnRH agonist was given for 1 year to 40 patients with prostate cancer [17]. According to a study by Torimoto et al. [24], significant increases in total cholesterol and LDL were observed at baseline and at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months in 39 patients with prostate cancer treated with ADT [24]. Salvador et al. [25] assessed and observed changes in lipid profiles caused by ADT in 33 patients with locally advanced or metastatic prostate cancer, both prospectively before ADT and at 6 and 12 months after ADT. Total cholesterol increased from 210 mg/dL at baseline to 227 mg/dL at 6 months (p<0.05), and LDL increased from 132 mg/dL to 148 mg/dL during the same period (p<0.05). HDL and triglycerides did not change. No significant differences were observed in any component at 12 months [25].

There is no consensus on changes in lipid profiles after ADT, but many studies report increased total cholesterol, triglycerides, and HDL levels.

**INSULIN RESISTANCE**

Insulin resistance is a metabolic malfunction accompanied by diabetes, prediabetes, and obesity, and it
is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease [26,27]. Insulin resistance caused by a GnRH agonist begins in the early stage of treatment and increases risks for diabetes and coronary artery disease [28]. GnRH agonists increase fasting insulin levels [19,22] and decrease insulin sensitivity in patients with prostate cancer [20,29].

Smith et al. [29] reported that serum glucose measurements from an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) given before prostate cancer treatment did not differ from those after 12 weeks of a combined androgen blockade, but a significant difference in insulin levels was observed before and after treatment [29]. They also reported prospectively on 22 patients undergoing GnRH agonist treatment for prostate cancer and found that median serum insulin levels increased gradually from 11.8 mU/L (range, 5.6–49.1 mU/L) before treatment to 15.1 mU/L (range, 7.3–83.2 mU/L) after 1 month of treatment and to 19.3 mU/L (range, 0–85.0 mU/L) after 3 months of GnRH agonist treatment [19]. Dockery et al. [22] studied 16 patients receiving GnRH agonist treatment and reported that serum insulin levels increased from 6.89±4.84 mU/L before treatment to 11.34±8.16 mU/L by 3 months of treatment.

In a 12-week prospective study, Smith et al. [20] also reported on 25 patients who were diagnosed with locally advanced or recurrent prostate cancer. They found that the insulin sensitivity index decreased 12.9%±7.6% (p=0.02) after GnRH agonist and bicalutamide treatment [20].

### TYPE 2 DIABETES

Obesity and insulin resistance are closely related to type 2 diabetes [30]. Derweesh et al. [31] conducted a retrospective study of 396 patients who received ADT; 36 patients (11.3%) were newly diagnosed with diabetes during follow-up (median follow-up duration, 601 months). Fifteen patients (19.5%) showed an increase of 10% or higher in glycated hemoglobin among the 77 patients who were already diagnosed with diabetes; 22 patients (28.6%) had increases in fasting glucose levels of 10% or higher [31].

Keating et al. [28] conducted a large population-based study using the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) and Medicare databases to assess the correlation between ADT and newly diagnose diabetes. The study was conducted on 73,196 male patients who were ≥66 years old and who had been diagnosed with prostate cancer. They reported that the use of a GnRH agonist increased the risk for incident diabetes (hazard ratio [HR], 1.44; p<0.001), and a bilateral orchiectomy increased the risk of diabetes (HR, 1.34; p<0.001) [28]. These authors conducted an additional study on 37,443 male patients of all ages who were diagnosed with prostate cancer; 14,597 of those patients (39%) received ADT [32]. They reported that GnRH agonist treatment was significantly related to an increased risk of incident diabetes (HR, 1.28; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.19–1.38) [32].

Alibhai et al. [33] analyzed 19,079 patients who had at least 6 months of ADT treatment or underwent a bilateral orchiectomy after receiving a prostate cancer diagnosis by matching those patients one-on-one with non-ADT patients. They reported that ADT increased the risk for diabetes (HR, 1.24; 95% CI, 1.15–1.35; p<0.05), and ADT administration for 24 months or longer was related to the onset of diabetes more than was ADT treatment for less than three months. These authors concluded that the duration of ADT increases the risk for diabetes [33].

### METABOLIC SYNDROME

Metabolic syndrome has various characteristics, including increased body fat, waist circumference, blood pressure, and triglycerides, as well as decreased HDL. Metabolic syndrome is a diagnostic cluster related to insulin resistance and cardiovascular disease risk factors.

Braga-Basaria et al. [34] conducted a cross-sectional study to evaluate the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in 58 patients who received long-term ADT. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome was 55% in the ADT group, which had at least 12 months of ADT, and was higher than that in the non-ADT and control groups (p<0.01 and p=0.03, respectively). The prevalence rates of abdominal obesity and hyperglycemia were higher in the ADT group, and triglycerides also increased significantly more in the ADT group (p=0.02) than in the control group, but no differences in the prevalence rates of hypertension or LDL were observed [34].

Various studies have reported that ADT increases fat and triglycerides and decreases insulin sensitivity [34,35]. However, metabolic alterations caused by ADT have characteristics different from those of classic metabolic syndrome, as ADT increases HDL and subcutaneous fat rather than visceral abdominal fat [17,35]. Although classic metabolic syndrome is related to a decrease in adiponectin [36] and an increase in C-reactive protein [37], ADT increases adiponectin and does not change C-reactive protein [29,35]. We compare and summarize the metabolic effects caused by classic metabolic syndrome and ADT in Table 1.
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**CARDIOVASCULAR MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY**

Many studies have evaluated whether ADT increases the risk for cardiovascular disease, as it does affect body habitus, lipid profiles, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, and metabolic syndrome. Keating et al. [28] assessed ADT and cardiovascular risk in 73,196 people in the SEER and Medicare database and found that men who received GnRH agonist treatment had an increased rate of onset of coronary heart disease (HR, 1.16; p<0.001), myocardial infarction (HR, 1.11; p=0.03), and sudden cardiac death (HR, 1.16; p=0.004). In addition, ADT increased serious cardiovascular morbidity by 20% in a retrospective analysis of 23,000 patients with prostate cancer [11].

In the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 85-31 trial [38], more than 900 patients with T3 tumors or lymph node-involved prostate cancer were compared in a radiotherapy (RT) group and an RT-combined-with-infinitive-ADT group. Cardiovascular mortality was found to be 8.4% when RT was combined with indefinite ADT and 11.4% when only RT was administered (p=0.017) [12,39]. ADT did not significantly increase cardiovascular mortality in the RTOG 86-10 [38] and RTOG 92-02 [40] trials.

In the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) 85-31 trial [38], more than 900 patients with T3 tumors or lymph node-involved prostate cancer were compared in a radiotherapy (RT) group and an RT-combined-with-infinitive-ADT group. Cardiovascular mortality was found to be 8.4% when RT was combined with indefinite ADT and 11.4% when only RT was administered (p=0.017) [12,39]. ADT did not significantly increase cardiovascular mortality in the RTOG 86-10 [38] and RTOG 92-02 [40] trials.

The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Trial 30891 compared a group in which ADT was applied immediately and another group in which ADT was applied only after symptoms arose [41]. A total of 985 patients with prostate cancer who were not suitable for local treatment and the latter group had a median time of 7 years from randomization to ADT. Cardiovascular mortality was 17.9% for the immediate-ADT group and 19.7% for the group in which ADT was applied only after symptoms appeared (p>0.05) [41].

Nguyen et al. [42] conducted a meta-analysis on randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to investigate the correlation between ADT and cardiovascular disease in patients with prostate cancer. From the results of eight RCTs involving 4,141 men, no significant difference in cardiovascular death was observed between ADT groups and non-ADT groups (incidence, 11.0%; 95% CI, 8.3–14.5 vs. 11.2%; 95% CI, 8.3–15.0; relative risk [RR], 0.93; 95% CI, 0.79–1.10; p=0.41), and no correlation was found between ADT and cardiovascular death in a long-term trial with a minimum of 3 years of ADT treatment (11.5%; 95% CI, 8.1–16.0 vs. 11.5%; 95% CI, 7.5–17.3; RR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.75–1.10; p=0.34). No correlation was observed between cardiovascular death and a short-term trial with less than 6 months of ADT (10.5%; 95% CI, 6.3–17.0 vs. 10.3%; 95% CI, 7.5–13.0; RR, 1.00; 95% CI, 0.7–1.37; p=0.99).

Thus, ADT appears to increase morbidity from cardiovascular disease, but no clear evidence has been presented on whether it increases cardiovascular mortality.

**MANAGEMENT AND CONCLUSIONS**

GnRH agonists are widely used to treat prostate cancer. GnRH agonists cause metabolic effects, such as changes in body habitus, insulin resistance, and lipid profiles, and also increase the risk for diabetes and cardiovascular events. Therefore, it is important to manage such metabolic changes. Despite the absence of high-level evidence for outcome benefits specific to men with prostate cancer receiving ADT, Grossman and Zajac [43] summarized the assessment and management of metabolic and cardiovascular health in men with prostate cancer who were receiving ADT. First, a metabolic risk assessment before beginning ADT treatment should include body mass index, waist circumference, blood pressure, fasting blood glucose, OGTT (if fasting glucose is 100–125 mg/dL), and a fasting lipid profile. Second, the metabolic
assessment should be repeated at 6 and 12 months, with subsequent testing. Third, intensive intervention should be instituted to prevent weight gain and worsening of insulin resistance. Fourth, management should include reducing cardiovascular risk factors, particularly smoking cessation. Blood pressure should be <130/80 mmHg, and lipid targets should follow the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP ATP-III) treatment guidelines.

The American Diabetes Association specifically recommends a 10% weight reduction and at least 150 minutes of exercise per week for patients with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose [44]. Active treatment of hyperlipidemia is effective for treating cardiovascular disease and can be applied to patients receiving ADT. According to NCEP ATP-III, the two goals of insulin resistance treatment are fixing underlying causes if possible and treating cardiovascular risk factors if issues continue after changing lifestyle [45,46]. In addition, NCEP ATP-III recommends using statins as first-line medication when lifestyle intervention does not lead to the goal [46].

As there are no consistent guidelines for treating the metabolic effects of ADT, these effects should be fully understood, and treatment should be performed according to the recommended guidelines to lower the risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease. We believe that additional studies and treatment guidelines are necessary to assess the metabolic effects of ADT.
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