	Videolaryngoscopy		Direct Laryngoscopy			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight M-H, Random, 95% CI			M-H, Random, 95% CI		
Sato (2017)	20	20	18	20	14.4%	1.11 [0.93, 1.31]			-	
Puchner (2011)	20	20	20	20	15.6%	1.00 [0.91, 1.10] 2011			+	
Srestha (2015)	20	20	20	20	15.6%	1.00 [0.91, 1.10] 2015			†	
Kwak (2016)	35	35	35	35	16.0%	1.00 [0.95, 1.06] 2016			•	
Hazarika (2018)	48	50	38	50	14.5%	1.26 [1.07, 1.49] 2018			-	
Roh (2019)	39	40	26	40	13.2%	1.50 [1.19, 1.89] 2019			-	
Zhu (2019)	33	33	16	33	10.7%	2.03 [1.43, 2.88] 2019			-	
Total (95% CI)		218		218	100.0%	1.19 [0.98, 1.45]			•	
Total events	215		173							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.06; Chi ² = 110).46, df =	6 (P < 0.00001);	l ² = 95%			0.05		<u> </u>	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.78 (P = 0.07)$								0.2 Favours DL	1 Favours V	5 20 L

Supplementary Fig. 2. Forest plot for comparison of glottic view between video laryngoscopy and direct laryngoscopy. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.