

Cartoon Editorial



Induced Abortion Law Respecting Doctor's Judgement

Min Suk Chung

Department of Anatomy, Ajou University School of Medicine, Suwon, Korea



► See the article "Social Consensus is Required for Legal Induced Abortion" in volume 34, number 19, e147.

Received: May 15, 2019
Accepted: May 17, 2019

Address for Correspondence:

Min Suk Chung, MD, PhD

Department of Anatomy, Ajou University
School of Medicine, 164 World Cup-ro,
Yeongtong-gu, Suwon 16499,
Republic of Korea.
E-mail: dissect@ajou.ac.kr

© 2019 The Korean Academy of Medical Sciences.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Min Suk Chung
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0527-9763>

Disclosure

The author has no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

Dr. Scifun

MS Chung (anatomy.co.kr)

Induced abortion law respecting doctor's judgement

Panel 1: In the induced abortion law, doctor's scientific judgement should be emphasized. It is examined how dangerous the abortion is after early pregnancy. The earlier abortion, the safer.

Panel 2: It is examined whether the suffered disease affects maternal and/or fetal health. Genetic abnormalities, infectious diseases... Too many diseases to codify in the law.

Panel 3: Simultaneously, doctor's moral judgement should be emphasized. We cannot bring up. Parents' socioeconomic state is considered.

Panel 4: Whether the abortion fits doctor's own conscience is considered. Doctor can conscientiously refuse to induce abortion. The doctor's hard judgement is irreplaceable.